

THEY WANT YOUR CHILDREN

**The Organised Homosexual Movement
And The War Against
The Black Family**

by B. Grant

If you are a black person and have children, this short study is perhaps the most important pamphlet you will ever read. It concerns their future and the future of our race. Please read it and pass it on.

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Al Baron who researched considerable tranches of this publication for me, to Martin and David who inspired it, and to Ray and Gerry for proof-reading the manuscript and for their ongoing support.

Are All Minorities Oppressed?

Oppression is a word that springs easily to the lips of black people. To oppress somebody means to hold them down; to oppress an ethnic group or a nation means to exploit it and deny its members the right to fulfil their goals and aspirations solely on the basis of their race or nationality. Black people know all about oppression; they have been the major victims of slavery over the past three to four hundred years, and although they have long been granted political equality in Britain, there can be little doubt that in some ways they are still oppressed here as elsewhere.

Black people constitute a minority of Britain's ethnic minorities; in some towns and cities they are a large minority, but in the country as a whole they make up far less than ten percent of the population. Although in recent years it has become virtually a stock claim of radicals that minorities are oppressed, it is the act of oppression only which makes them so. In South Africa, blacks make up the majority, and whites a significant minority. This doesn't mean that South Africa's whites are oppressed, although undoubtedly some of them are.

We should add to this that, ethnic considerations aside, everyone belongs to at least one minority, usually several. Old age pensioners are a minority, so are civil servants, and so are criminals. Not all minorities then are oppressed, even those minorities which often scream that they are. One such minority which is not oppressed in any meaningful sense of the word is the homosexual minority. Of late, this minority has been screaming louder than most if not all others.

From Queer To "Gay"

Just as there are a number of pejoratives for blacks: nigger, coon, etc, so there are for homosexuals. The cult of political correctness frowns on such epithets; people have even been dismissed from their jobs for using them. Of course, the context of an insult matters, although most people will agree that it is better not to insult other people at all. But we should never make mountains out of molehills.

Homosexuals have often been called queers, fairies, faggots and similar names. We might deplore such appellations, but something we should deplore even more is the euphemism gay. The term gay first came into use to describe homosexuals as early as the 1930s. (1) We will shortly demonstrate that there is absolutely nothing gay about homosexuality, and we advise our readers never to make the slightest concession to those who would indoctrinate our people or brainwash our children, by ever referring to sodomites by such palpably disgusting and misleading euphemisms.

How Big A Minority?

There is much talk nowadays of homosexuals constituting a significant or even a large minority. An extreme case is that of homosexual rights activist Peter Tatchell. Writing in the February 1993 issue of the Labour magazine Briefing, Tatchell claimed that: "10 per cent of the population is exclusively or predominantly queer...", (his word), and that "15 per cent are bisexual for all or part of their lives", while "25-35 per cent have at least one homosexual experience leading to orgasm during their lifetime..." (2)

Tatchell cites the "findings" of Kinsey, Masters and Johnson et al as "proof" of this. (3) We will not dwell here on the bogus Kinsey report, it will suffice to say that a close reading of Kinsey's book exposes it as utter nonsense. (4) Gay Times, Britain's biggest selling homosexual publication, has a circulation of 38,000. (5) Circulation figures for national newspapers are unquestionably accurate to within a few hundred, but it is not unknown for magazines to boost their circulation statistics for any number of reasons. However, if this figure is reasonably accurate - and it probably is to within a few thousand - that gives us some idea of the actual size of this noisy minority. As homosexuals are one of the most politically aware as well as best organised and most militant sections of the community, it is quite likely that this paper is read by the majority of Britain's overt homosexuals, and by quite a few closet homosexuals, if only occasionally.

The truth about homosexuality is that probably no more than one percent of the population can be considered homosexual in any meaningful sense of the word. (6) But homosexuals are concentrated in a number of major towns and cities, London in particular. (7) And, in spite of their unrelenting claims of "oppression", the organised homosexual movement - often misnamed gay "rights", has a lot of sympathisers in high places and in foundations and trusts. The amount of money that has been spent and continues to be spent on promoting this perversion is staggering. If this were all private money then no reasonable person could object; what you do with your money is your own affair, ditto any trust or campaigning organisation. But often as not it is public money: the money of the taxpayer and the ratepayer - your money! - which is used to promote homosexuality. And this is something no reasonable person could do anything but object to.

Not Wanted In Britain. Nor Anywhere Decent People Live

In 1981, the aforementioned Peter Tatchell was selected as the Labour candidate for Bermondsey, one of the safest Labour seats in the country. In February 1983 he managed to lose it. Defending an 11,576 majority, he succeeded in polling a mere 7,698 votes. A rival Labour candidate polled 2,243 votes; the Liberal/SDP Al-

liance won the seat with 17,017. (8) Tatchell was an outsider; he was also an extreme leftist, but there can be no doubt that the main bone of contention here was his undisguised homosexuality. Bermondsey, a working class area with a large black population, didn't want a left wing extremist as its MP, but most of all it didn't want a militant homosexual.

Tatchell complained that there had been a hate campaign against him, as indeed there had, including to some extent by the Labour leadership. Because they didn't want a militant homosexual representing them either. In his book, Tatchell was scathing about the personal attacks on him, especially about the hate mail he received. One correspondent wrote:

"Sir,

As an ex-docker, and a lifelong member of the Labour Party - the son and grandson of dockers of the same opinion - I am disgusted that you should nominate an Antipodean Marxist QUEER as the next Labour Member for the Borough."

This letter was signed "A Real Labour Member"; other fan mail was less restrained. Of this and others, Tatchell said: "With obvious relish, their letters ranted at length against the sexual practices they pretended to abhor." (9) This is a typical homosexual delusion; they really do believe that anyone who attacks them is a repressed homo acting out of self-hatred. It never occurs to these sickos that some people are genuine revolted by their coprophagous habits and their sick, diseased filth. Tatchell though was even more deluded, because he truly believed he enjoyed a great deal of popular support. He cited one letter which began "Dear Comrade" as an example of "how ordinary people were seeing our struggle". (10) Does he really believe that ordinary working people address one another as Comrade? What a prick.

The Bermondsey affair proved, neither for the first nor for the last time, that politicians should listen to the people, especially where sexual perversion and degeneracy is an issue.

Never Judge A Book By Its Cover

Ten and more years have passed since the Bermondsey fiasco, which means ten more years of indoctrination by the organised homosexual movement and their powerful allies in the media and "radical" politics. Many people nowadays believe, erroneously, that homosexuality is much the same as heterosexuality, and that homosexuals are jolly good chaps like the rest of us. This myth has been sedulously created and spread by the media. Although much of the media has been, and fortunately still remains, hostile to homosexuality, a significant sector of it remains committed to promoting positive images of homosexuals. Thus you will see them depicted as muscular, handsome, well-educated, the sort of person you wouldn't think twice about inviting into your own home. This though is a veneer. Black people especially should always be wary

of judging a person by his or her skin colour or looks. Ted Bundy, one of the most notorious mass murderers in American history, was all of these things: handsome, cultured, intelligent, courteous, charming, and a law graduate to boot. While Joe Louis, the none too handsome former heavyweight champion of the world, was known as a soft touch out of the ring and gave away a fortune to charity. Never judge a book by its cover! Especially if it's been decorated by the make-up department at the BBC. This then is the veneer of homosexuality, but what is the reality?

Some Straight Facts About The "Gay" Myth

Homosexuality is manifestly not the same as or similar to heterosexuality: being straight or normal. Homosexuals practice anal sex, among other things. Quantifying the exact degree is difficult because people are wont to lie about their sexual habits, but it is true that ordinary, straight men and women engage in most if not all the sexual practices that homosexuals do. Many women for example practice occasional sodomy; oral sex is probably quite common too, especially among the young, but, leaving aside the unnatural and flesh-creeping, repulsive thought of two men engaging in sexual acts together, it is largely the degree of abnormal sex which makes homosexual practices so disgusting.

The anus was never intended by nature to be a receptacle. "When sodomy is performed, the peculiar forced inward expansion of the anal canal results in a tearing of the lining as well as bleeding anal fissures." (11)

But anal intercourse is far from the only damaging and degrading practice homosexuals engage in. Among the most dangerous, degrading and disgusting is the practise of anilingus, usually referred to as rimming. This involves licking the rim of the anus, and often ingesting faecal matter - eating shit. Many homosexuals do this anyway, or if they don't actually eat shit they defecate on each other; this bizarre practice is known as scat. Sado-masochism (S&M) is also common, and "Urination into the mouth and over the bleeding body of the participant...is likewise a common practice." (12) This is known as golden showers or water sports.

Then there is the practice of fisting, which is exactly what it sounds like. (13) While it is difficult to believe that a fist can be inserted into a human anus, it is impossible to believe that it can be done so safely. And of course, it can't. Not content with using fists, homosexuals also insert toys into the anus: dildoes, vibrators etc. (14) This can and does lead to serious internal damage; in particular puncturing of the intestinal wall and seepage of faecal matter into the abdomen, which can result in death. (15) Let us quote one particularly stomach-churning passage from a study of homosexual depravity: "In some cases, the damage from fisting is so extensive that a sphincterectomy or colostomy must be performed. Some individuals have then had sodomy performed through the colostomy opening, causing further damage." (16)

If all this were not enough, there is another terrible, disgusting and depraved aspect of homosexual behaviour. (17) Again, while promiscuity is practised by heterosexuals, and while a certain type of woman engages in prostitution, promiscuity and anonymous sexual encounters - paid and unpaid - take place on a vastly greater scale among homosexuals than among the rest of society. It will be as well for us to consider this aspect of homosexual behaviour in some detail.

Close Encounters Of The Anonymous Kind

The sexually explicit diaries of the English playwright and homosexual John Kingsley (Joe) Orton were published in 1986. (18) Orton (1933-67) was not just a promiscuous homosexual but a complete wretch and total degenerate who not only wallowed in his depravity but recorded his sleaze and filth for posterity. Here are some extracts:

"On the way home I met an ugly Scotsman who said he liked being fucked. He took me somewhere in his car and I fucked him up against a wall." (19)

"I arrived in Leicester at 4.30. I had a bit of quick sex in a derelict house with a labourer I picked up...He took his pants down...He sucked my cock after I'd come." (20) This was the afternoon before his mother's funeral, while after the funeral, "I picked up an Irishman...He sucked my cock. Afterwards I fucked him. It was difficult to get in. He had a very tight arse...He wanted to fuck me when I'd finished. It seemed unfair to refuse after I'd fucked him. So I let him." (21)

Later, Orton describes in sordid and shocking detail a scene of mass perverted sex involving himself and half a dozen other men in a North London public toilet. (22) The blurb on the back cover of another book about Orton, published by the obnoxious Gay Men's Press [sic] boasts that the author "reclaims Orton and Halliwell for the gay movement". (23) Exactly why anyone should want to claim much less reclaim such a degenerate human being as Joe Orton is not made clear. (24)

It would be nice to dismiss Orton as an aberration, unfortunately this is not the case. Orton died before the legalisation of homosexuality in England. (25) More than two decades on, the queers have long since come out of the closet, on both sides of the Atlantic. Here are some extracts from American studies of more contemporary homosexual perversion.

Contemporary American Studies

In 1978, Edward Delph published The Silent Community. (26) He appears to have researched this book in situ, following degenerates around, watching them masturbate each other in public toilets and the like. One of the major meeting places for homosexuals, besides public toilets, is the bathhouse. Reporting on two of his interviewees, Delph says that some of these wretches fast before engaging in their obscene practices with strangers. But: "Alex, on the other hand, does not fast before going to the bath. He takes repeated enemas until the lower bowel tract is clean. During sex, Alex likes to switch between oral and anal sodomy without stopping to clean off, thus requiring the absence of any contaminating fecal matter. Tony C. pointed out...that anyone into the anal scene, whether rimming, anal intercourse, or fist-fucking, has to have taken adequate preparations to be clean [sic]. The first sign of fecal matter suspends all interaction." (27)

Not everyone take exception to excrement though, in one homosexual establishment the author found the following graffiti: "Slave needs master, especially dig shit, piss, cock-ball-tit torture, humiliation, dog-training, etc." (28) The walls in one establishment he investigated were plastered with such filth.

In his 1990 book The Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, Michael Fumento makes some even more shocking revelations. (29)

"Large-scale luxurious pleasure palaces where everyone is potentially an immediate sexual partner are a common sexual fantasy; only for gay men are they a commonplace reality...Men in bathhouses rarely talk much, and it is quite common for sex to take place without words, let alone names, being exchanged." (30)

The same author reports that one homosexual activist has gone on record thus: "The willingness to have sex immediately, promiscuously, and with people about whom one knows nothing and from whom one demands only physical contact can be seen as a sort of Whitmanesque democracy, a desire to know and trust other men in a type of brotherhood." (31)

In public restrooms, "Glory holes are cut in the dividers between cubicles to allow completely anonymous fellatio". (32) While a study in Denver found that an average bathhouse patron having his typical 2.7 sexual contacts a night risked a 33 percent chance of walking out of the tubs with syphilis or gonorrhoea. (33) Fumento reports that some homosexuals "racked up" as many as 20,000 sexual partners! (34)

They Want Your Children

The short study of homosexual practices you have just waded through, hopefully with profound disgust, will have alerted you to the shocking, stomach-churning facts behind the gay myth. Let's not forget that this is what they call it: gay. Notwith-

standing all the above: the denigration, the filth, the squalor, the insult to humanity, and, many would say, to God, we are supposed to live in a free society, a tolerant society. Nobody knows better than black people the evils of persecution of minorities, of oppression, of torment. Most black people, indeed, the overwhelming majority of people of all races, will agree that what consenting adults do on private property between themselves and with their own bodies, concerns no one but themselves. At least it shouldn't. In practice we have many repressive laws even in "democratic" Britain. To take just one example: although hundreds of thousands and possibly millions of people regularly smoke ganja, even the mere possession of this relatively harmless drug is illegal.

But while most people of all races take a tolerant view of drugs, nobody but nobody tolerates the exploitation of children by drug dealers. Someone caught in possession of a small quantity of ganja will get a fine, maybe only a police caution, but someone who supplies children with it can expect to go straight to gaol for a long time, and rightly so.

The organised homosexual movement may be a far cry from drug dealers, but the threat these perverts and degenerates pose to the young, is, in the short, medium and long term, far, far more serious than that posed by drug dealers, even crack dealers. Because while drug dealing is illegal and people who push drugs to children can expect heavy sentences, the organised homosexual movement is not illegal, and is exploiting the tolerant and fair-minded attitude of the people of Britain in order to corrupt our children. And they are targeting black children especially. What is worse, they are doing it using taxpayers' and ratepayers' money - your money - under the guise of fighting discrimination and bigotry, and promoting tolerance. Even worse, they are indoctrinating social policy makers: teachers, social workers etc into the belief that any opposition to their policy of promoting their sinful perversion as a positive alternative is tantamount to hatred, bigotry, even fascism.

Targeting Children

In December 1983, the homosexual publishing house Gay Men's Press [sic] published an English translation of Jenny lives with Eric and Martin, a sick, lavishly illustrated, large format book aimed at convincing children - and parents - that homosexuals make good parents. (35) Since then the campaign of the organised homosexual movement has been stepped up by several degrees. They are now demanding that homosexuals be allowed to adopt children, including single men and men living together in mutual sodomising relationships. Of course, this was the aim all along of Jenny lives with Eric and Martin, and all the other queer propaganda we have been bombarded with over the past few years. In the United States, an organisation called NAMBLA (North American Man-Boy

Love Association) openly campaigns for the right of paedophiles to abuse children.

In 1974, a similar organisation reared its ugly head in Britain, the Paedophile Information Exchange, (PIE). (36) It is not our intention here to suggest that all or even most homosexuals are paedophiles, though it is true that PIE was founded by male homosexuals operating within the homosexual movement. (37) It may well be true that most homosexuals find paedophilia every bit as morally repugnant as do most heterosexuals, but that is not the point. (38) The point is that these people live like dung beetles; we have already described in sickening detail the nature of their diabolical practices. What sort of adoptive parents could they possibly make? What sort of influence would they be on growing children when they are out having anonymous sex in public toilets and bathhouses, when they shit on each other, practice torture, or shove their fists up each others' arses? What sort of example is that for any child?

One other extraordinary point here is that the Paedophile Information Exchange boasted as long ago as 1977 that it was affiliated to the National Council for Civil Liberties. (39) Yet seven years later, this organisation announced that it would no longer defend the civil liberties of members of the National Front and similar organisations. (40) No black person in his right mind has any sympathy for the National Front, but what kind of sick civil liberties organisation won't recognise the rights of a white man who states openly that he doesn't want to live next door to a black, doesn't want blacks in his country and doesn't want them making up to his daughter, (41) yet does recognise the rights of men who advocate legalising sex between adults and children? Let's put it another way, who would you rather engage as a babysitter: a woman who had stood as a National Front election candidate, or the secretary of the local branch of PIE?

Now Targeting Black Children

There is a public library in the London Borough of Haringey named after the black leader Marcus Garvey. (42) One would expect a library named after one of the greatest heroes of our race to stock books which portray suitable role models for black kids. But instead of the Marcus Garveys, Booker T. Washingtons and Muhammad Alis of this world, our kids are expected to pay homage to terrorist scumbags like Nelson Mandela and his necklace-wielding wife Winnie, race agitators like the phony "doctor" Martin Luther King. (43) Worse than that though, our kids are now being indoctrinated into using homosexuals as role models.

Two of the books stocked in the parental guidance section of the Marcus Garvey Library portray just such - albeit fictional - role models. (44) One of these books is called Daddy's Roommate. (45) This book is illustrated in colour and portrays a white boy who lives with his divorced father and his fellow sodomite and

"boyfriend". The second of these books is called HOW WOULD YOU FEEL IF YOUR DAD WAS GAY? and tells the fictional story of a young black girl named Jasmine who lives with her faggot father and his male "lover". (46)

These books are both published in the United States, and by the same publisher. The United States has a far larger black population than the UK of course, and there is a saying that what happens in the USA today happens in Britain tomorrow. Unfortunately, this applies to the bad things in life as well as technological and fashion innovations. Including AIDS. However, it is curious that neither of these books - one of which sets out specifically to poison the minds of black children - mentions AIDS. This is in keeping with the media propagated fiction that AIDS is not a homosexual disease and that all sections of the population are equally at risk. (47)

Let us look at both these books in turn. Daddy's Roommate is the shortest. Made up almost entirely of pictures, it is clearly aimed at younger children. This is an old tactic, catch them young and ensnare their minds forever! Daddy's fellow sodomite in this misadventure looks suspiciously like gay "rights" activist and Labour MP Ken Livingstone. Livingstone is the former head of the late and unlamented GLC, and although he is no kind of faggot, when he was running that loony left circus, he and his ilk poured countless millions of taxpayers' money into the organised homosexual movement and other left wing causes. To be scrupulously fair to Livingstone, he did also speak out against racial bigotry, including Zionist atrocities in the Middle East. It may be just coincidence and that author and illustrator Michael Willhoite has never seen Livingstone, but we can't help thinking that this is poetic justice.

The book starts with the poor little kid explaining that his parents divorced last year. Fortunately, mother seems to have custody most of the time, although this is implied rather than stated, but when weekend comes, junior goes off to stay with daddy and his catamite, Ken Livingstone clone Frank.

"When weekends come, we do all sorts of things together", says the kid. I bet they do. The two sodomites are shown arm-in-arm and even in bed together, although fortunately they don't appear to be engaging in their obscene practices. But the message is "Being gay is just one more kind of love." On the page opposite this caption, junior is shown hugging his dad. What sort of father can engage in perverted sex with his male "lover" then hug his own son? Wouldn't junior's flesh creep if he realised that a few hours earlier daddy had been shoving his hand up his fellow sodomite's arse? Hey kid, he's patting you on the head.

Now let us look at a slightly more substantial attempt to ensnare the minds of the young. The subject of HOW WOULD YOU FEEL IF YOUR DAD WAS GAY?, the little black girl Jasmine, is thrown into the limelight when the subject of Father's Day is raised. Jasmine tells the class that she has three dads. Considering many black kids nowadays don't even have one, she appears lucky in the extreme, except that her three dads are her mother's second husband, her natural father and his fellow sodomite. Unlike the

white kid of the previous tale of perversion, Jasmine and her brother live with her father and his lover half the time. Which says a lot for her mother. Not content with arsenic, they add cyanide as well, because another kid in Jasmine's class, Noah, lives with his lesbian mother who says: "I'm sorry this is so hard on you, Noah...If it was up to me, nobody would give anybody a hard time about who they love."

It's obviously not hard for you, dear. But Noah is totally taken in: "Why do so many people think it's bad to be gay?" asks Noah. Because it damn well is you poor, deluded little soul. Read the extracts from studies of homosexual behaviour again in the sections Some Straight Facts About The "Gay" Myth, Close Encounters Of The Anonymous Kind and Contemporary American Studies and ask yourself if it isn't bad, wicked and sinful to engaged in anonymous sex with other men in bathhouses; to shove your fist up somebody's arse; to drink their cum; to eat their excrement. This is the way maggots are supposed to live, not human beings.

Returning to HOW WOULD YOU FEEL..., on page 21, the young reader is told: "...letting kids put other kids down because their folks are gay is just as bad as letting kids get put down 'cause they're black."

Certainly no child should ever be condemned for the sins of his parents, but the spurious analogy of sexual perversion with race is an insult not only to black people but to people of all races. The organised homosexual movement has been in the forefront of the misnamed "anti-racist" movement, but when we discuss the AIDS disinformation campaign the reader will see for himself in what high esteem homosexuals truly hold black people.

On page 25 appears a picture of a gay "family" [sic]. Two men with a baby! While on page 26, the following words are put in the mouth of a character called Dr Larkin, a female children's counsellor [sic] "...people don't understand that being gay is just another way for people to fall in love, and make a family. Lots of gay people have kids. Some have biological children, and some have adopted children, just like other families."

This is of course the same message as Daddy's Roommate; this publisher is obviously on a crusade to destroy the youth of America, black and white.

On page 32, the following appears: "When Ron and Andrew came in to kiss Jasmine goodnight, she smiled at her dads. "You know how it feels when your dad is gay?" she asked them. "Sometimes it feels kinda weird. But mostly it feels just fine."

How nice will it feel when your father is arrested for importuning for immoral purposes in a public toilet? Or when he contracts AIDS or some other vile disease? How will it feel then to know you've been drinking out of the same cups, eating off the same plates and breathing the same air? Poison, pure poison. Black children don't need this kind of filth. Neither do white children. Neither does anyone.

The Alice In Wonderland World Of "Gay" Parenting

These two books were published by Alyson Publications as part of a series called Alyson Wonderland. Like Alice in Wonderland they are totally unreal and clearly constitute an attempt to indoctrinate your children. All this talk of gay happy families is total nonsense. Strictly speaking there are no homosexual fathers; if a man has children then he is by definition bi-sexual rather than homosexual, a degenerate would be a better word. The drawing on page 25 of HOW WOULD YOU FEEL IF YOUR DAD WAS GAY? is a sham, such "families" do not exist anywhere, not even in modern day, depraved America. It is doubtful if any country on Earth would permit such a "family" to exist. No mother who thought anything of her child would permit it to be taken from her by the divorced or estranged father and brought up in a homosexual bawd. And even if the mother were agreeable, neither the social services, nor the courts, nor the public at large, would permit such an outrage. Without wishing to be in any way disrespectful of the male of the species, of which the current writer is one, it is too well documented to need further mention that normal men, who are left to bring up children on their own face grave difficulties. A man who was left to bring up a baby on his own would receive support from relatives and social services, or would put the child into care or place it with relatives or foster parents, probably until he remarried. (48)

The War On The Black Family

"Books that portray two homosexuals and a child as a family are obscene." - Jim Buckley, North London Conservative councillor. (49)

As we approach the Twentieth Century the black family is going through a crisis. It is a standing joke among right wing extremists that most black kids don't have fathers, or don't even know who their fathers are. British National Party supporters and other bigots put this down to the alleged biological inferiority of blacks. "Progressives", liberals and "anti-racists" on the other hand blame this, and every other shortcoming of the Negro race on the mythical disease of racism. To put it in a nutshell, both these explanations are bullshit, while the latter is also a cop out. The reality is that the African family doesn't have these problems, and the African is surely not as technologically advanced as the modern Western black. When one looks back to the 1940s and 50s one also sees proof that the cop out of racism is just that, a cop out.

However much "oppression", discrimination and harassment our people suffer today, they surely don't have it half as bad as their parents and grandparents had it. (50) As a group, blacks now have higher incomes than ever before, and although most promi-

nent blacks are still to be found in the traditional fields of sport, entertainment and soft sciences, there is a thriving black middle class and more and more blacks in the field of business, commerce and enterprise.

In fact, juvenile delinquency, drug and alcohol abuse, and all the other problems faced by black families, are mirrored in white families, albeit on a lesser scale, but not by much. Almost a third of children in Britain are now born out of wedlock; (51) most of those are of course white. On the other hand, Asian and Chinese families have very little illegitimacy, delinquency, drug abuse, alcoholism and other problems. The reason for this is clearly that Asians and Chinese have cohesive, traditional, extended families while white and black families have been disintegrating. Part of this is undoubtedly due to the miscegenation propaganda which our people keep having thrust down their throats. Successful black men in particular seem to think that the ultimate status symbol is a white girlfriend or wife, as if black women weren't good enough for them. This propaganda, which is often far from subtle, emanates from many sources, but in particular from the race-hate machine of Zionist fanatics and mischief-makers (52) and from the collectivists of extreme left "anti-racist" fanatics who interpret all history as the history of class struggles. (53)

Of course, there are many other forces conspiring to destroy the family, not the black family, but the family per se. One of these forces, certainly one of the more sinister and the most effective, is the organised homosexual movement. Conspiracy though is probably not a very appropriate word, because a conspiracy implies that their campaign is a secret, whereas in reality their agenda is not only not hidden but overt.

What The Sodomites Want: In Their Own Words

In 1979, the Gay Liberation Information Service [sic] published a its revised manifesto. (54) This refers to "the indoctrination of the small child with these attitudes", (page 6). These "attitudes" refers to the way ordinary people - you - bring up their children, ie as normal. In other words, they believe that you are indoctrinating your children. As indoctrination is a form of coercion, it follows that they will next insist that your children be taken from you and instructed in the correct attitude towards homosexuality. And indeed, this is already happening, hence these cesspits of human depravity Gay Men's Press [sic] and Alyson Wonderland Books.

The Gay Liberation Front Manifesto [sic] is an assault on traditional family values and indeed everything decent black people and decent people of all races everywhere stand for. Just to make sure there can be no misunderstanding their position, on page 12 they affirm: "We do not intend to ask for anything. We intend to stand firm and assert our basic rights. If this involves violence, it will not be we who initiate this, but those who attempt

to stand in our way to freedom", (their italics).

In other words, they will use force to assert their rights to poison the minds of your children.

Homosexuality: A White Man's Disease

Although there are such things as black homosexuals - renowned American author and faggot James Baldwin was a prominent one - homosexuality is primarily a disease which afflicts white males. Before the reader accuses me of racism I might point out that mugging is primarily a black man's crime; the majority of pimps in New York are black though their whores are often white; and organised crime in the United States is (or was) run primarily by Italians and Jews, although other ethnic gangs have increasingly moved in, especially with the advent of crack.

To recognise different ethnic patterns in crime, employment or other fields is not to be guilty of preaching hate, it is simply to acknowledge that just as individuals have different talents and vices, so do races, although in the final analysis all generalisations are false. Including this one.

The fact that homosexuals are overwhelmingly white and male doesn't mean for one moment that all white people are pro-homo anymore than the involvement of Jews in the communist movement means that communism is or was Jewish. (55) Indeed, nobody has opposed the terrible disease of homosexuality more than white people; most people of all races feel nothing but disgust at the thought of it. (56) But it is true nevertheless that proportionately fewer of our people have been ensnared by homosexuality. It is equally true that increasingly the organised homosexual movement is targeting black people, especially black children, hence that pernicious piece of poison HOW WOULD YOU FEEL IF YOUR DAD WAS GAY? which is clearly written with the aim of corrupting black children.

In the short term we must ensure that this poison does not infect our children, but in the long term, just as the overwhelming majority of white people oppose the fascism and hatred of the British National Party, so we must oppose the poison of homosexuality and stop it spreading to not only our children, but to white children, and indeed to all children.

The Myth Of Homophobia: The Unwarranted Assumption Of Hatred

No sensible person would dispute the fact that there is such a thing as racial bigotry and indeed racial hatred, but the charges of racism often levelled at white people are more often than not totally spurious. In 1771, a black slave named James Sommersett was held in irons on board a ship lying in the Thames bound for Jamaica. Lord Mansfield declared his detention to be unlawful. "The air of England is too pure for any slave to breathe," he

said, "Let the black go free," and the slave went free. (57)

No one could reasonably claim that this judge was anti-black, which is what the term racism is usually implied to mean, ie if you're a racist you hate black people, Indians etc. But although Lord Mansfield was not anti-black, it is doubtful if he would have invited the slave he freed to his home. If he were an employer as well as a judge, he may well have balked at employing a black. Remember, this was over two hundred years ago when women were the mere chattels of men. And it is as near a certainty as anyone could imagine that this learned, and humane, judge would not have tolerated a black or any non-white making eyes at his daughter, much less sleeping with her.

All the same, the only thing we ever hear from "anti-racist" campaigners is what an evil bunch of bastards white people are and how anyone is so terribly racist who doesn't want to welcome every black he meets to his home and invite him to sleep with his daughter. All this "anti-racist" hysteria certainly hasn't done black people any good. Although it's created a lot of jobs for the boys in the race relations industry, and a lot of repressive and stupid laws, it has unquestionably done more harm to race relations than good. Certainly this sort of anti-white poison has led to the rise of racially motivated violence, and to the BNP. It has also led to the suppression of free debate on the race issue by enabling all opponents of forced immigration and integration to be denounced as racists and denied any sort of platform.

Bad as this is on race issues, on the issue of homosexuality this policy is suicidal for the whole of society. If no platform for racists and fascists becomes no platform for homophobes, ordinary, decent people, of all races, will be denied the opportunity or even the right of speaking out against this cancer. This means that any opposition to the spread of this foul poison will be interpreted as hatred. If you don't want to share your home with a homosexual, you are a homophobe and therefore a hater; if you don't want to associate with queers in any way, manner, shape or form, then you are homophobic and therefore a hater. If their disgusting practices revolt you, if they turn your stomach in disgust, you hate them. If the thought of sucking another man's penis, or licking the rim of his arse makes you want to vomit, then you must hate homosexuals, you are sick, and you should be treated for your illness, locked up, or ostracised by your fellow men. Or perhaps all three.

And, when your kids are given gay lessons at school and you object, you will again be silenced on the spurious grounds that you are a homophobe. Absurd as this scenario may seem now, this sort of nonsense has already been applied in the field of race relations. How long will it be before the hysteria over racism is extended to cover homophobia? (58) Your children are your future, not only that, they are everybody's future; you have a duty to protect them from this homosexual filth and poison at this most vulnerable time of their lives.

If you are inclined to dismiss this either as a joke or as lunatic ravings, you should bear in mind that we have already

seen the insane spectacle of people being sent to gaol for speaking out against forced race-mixing and immigration, as surely is their right. Black people as well as white people have been gaoled on the spurious pretext of incitement to racial hatred. (59) In reality, the vast majority of white people who discriminate against blacks do not do so out of hatred or out of ignorance, but even if they did, that would be their right. No one has the right to tell you how to dispose of your property, who you should employ, or who you are to associate with. Any legislation compelling you to employ someone you don't want, and so on, is, first and foremost, a violation of your rights as an individual. A government that doesn't protect the rights of the individual, doesn't regard them as sacrosanct, is nothing less than a form of outright tyranny.

It doesn't take much imagination to see that the organised homosexual movement will soon demand that the same Draconian sanctions for allegedly inciting racial hatred be applied to inciting hatred against sodomites. (60) And don't forget, they will decide what constitutes hatred. They want to destroy your freedom, they want to take away your individual rights, and they want to corrupt your children. And they will do all three, unless you take a stand NOW!

Homosexuals And "Anti-Fascism"

Many homosexuals are active in the so-called anti-fascist movement and in opposing racism. This gives many black people the erroneous impression that they are friends of the black community and of the non-white peoples of the world generally. It is of course a colossal fallacy that my enemy's enemy is my friend; both fascists and communists are sworn enemies of democracy. But in any case, a second look at the political activities of anti-fascist queers soon makes the thoughtful black person realise that their involvement in such organisations as the Anti-Nazi League (61) is either self-serving or driven by motives other than genuine fraternity. The first thing we should dispose of though is the idea that fascism is inherently anti-homosexual and that genuine opposition to fascism, and racial bigotry, need make any concessions at all to the sinful practices of sodomites.

"Hitler and Mosley, what are they for?
Thuggery, buggery, murder and war!"

During the 1930s when there was much organised - and often violent - opposition to the fascist movement, this was a popular anti-Blackshirt chant. Nowadays such a "politically incorrect" chant wouldn't go down at all well at an ANAL rally. Communists were not particularly partial to homosexuals either, and indeed, in the Soviet Union, homosexuality was treated as an illness and homosexuals were sent off to camp. In Weimar Germany, homosexuality was regarded as a Nazi perversion. The Nazi secret police,

the Gestapo, and other organisations of the Nazi state, probably attracted a certain number of homosexuals. Many of them have, and always have had, a fetish for uniforms, and for the mystique and the violence associated with these sort of organisations. In any totalitarian state, whether of the right or of the left, sadists invariably gravitate towards the secret police, for the obvious reason that such organisations give them a golden opportunity to practice their cruelty, including sexual torture and murder, under the cloak of legality and to get paid for it. The practice of torture, mutilation, self-mutilation and just plain cruelty by homosexuals is far too well known to require further documentation here. In San Francisco, at least one workshop was set up to instruct homosexuals in how to engage in sexual torture without killing each other. (62)

In 1933, Adolf Hitler, to his credit, purged the homosexual Ernst Röhm in the Night of the Long Knives. (63) He also threw homosexuals into concentration camps. The organised homosexual movement makes much of this, often claiming that homosexuals were exterminated in their tens of thousands. This is a blatant lie, but the comparison they often draw with the very real persecution and suffering of German Jews is an insult to the dead. Although Organised (Zionist) Jewry is even more feisty than the organised homosexual movement, and has to a degree made common cause with them in the fight against the mythical fascist menace, Orthodox, Torah-true Jews wouldn't touch queers with a barge pole. In this connection it is just as well to recall that the Talmud, the holy book of the Jews, pronounces a death sentence for homosexual acts. (64) Indeed, none of the world's great religions have anything but harsh words to say for this insult to humanity and reservoir of filth.

In more modern times, even the supposedly highly moral National Front has not been free of the taint of homosexuality. Martin Webster was for years a leading member until he was finally purged in 1985. (65) He was denounced by current British National Party Führer John Tyndall as a seducer of young boys. (66) The fact that nationalists and fascists can be secret or overt faggots is neither here nor there. Homosexuality is not a political issue. Neither are your children.

Another reason homosexual activists have been attracted to the anti-fascist movement is because they share its political ideology, which can be summed up in one word: collectivism. From this point of view there is not a ha'porth of difference between the fascists of the right and the fascists of the left. ANAL has long been acknowledged to be a front for the violently anti-capitalist Socialist Workers' Party. Their ultimate goal is nothing less than the total abolition of capitalism, and workers' (read state) control of all means of production. For many less well off black people, and white people, this sounds like a good idea. But when the state controls everything, the only people who prosper are those who go along with the system. If you're a good socialist then you'll get one of the jobs for the boys, but if you don't tow their line on every single issue, you'll be out in the cold, or shipped off to a concentration camp to be "re-educated".

Perhaps until you think it's a good idea for your kids to have "gay lessons".

You should also bear in mind that Karl Marx, the co-author of the Communist Manifesto, considered women to be little more than the chattels of men to be used by all, like common prostitutes. This sort of mentality may be perfect for homosexuals, used as they are to engaging in anonymous sex with complete strangers in bathhouses. But would you really like to do that with other women? More to the point, would you like your wife to do it with other men? This is what the fascists of the left, the Socialist Workers' Party et al really stand for. If you've any doubts, read their literature. Their embracing of the organised homosexual movement is part of creating a popular front, supposedly against fascism, but in reality against capitalism, ie private enterprise. Something else you should consider, if you are one of the more successful blacks, especially if you're an employer, is that you too will be designated a class enemy and marked down for liquidation when the glorious revolution comes. However "anti-racist" they profess to be.

Finally, homosexuals support the anti-fascist movement out of, what for them are very pragmatic, but for black people, stomach-churning, reasons. In a nutshell it comes down to plain, old-fashioned lust. In the days of slavery, white plantation owners often had affairs with black women slaves. Although some of these slave masters lived openly with their black mistresses, and some even treated them as fairly as they would have treated white women, the majority did not. Not wishing their womenfolk to engage in miscegenation also, and therefore being hypocrites as well as traitors to their race, they created an old wives' tale that a black man had such an enormous member that any white woman who had sexual relations with one would be torn to pieces internally. Unfortunately, this had quite the opposite of the desired effect on some lower "types" of white women. The myth persists that a black man is much better endowed than a white man, and it is this lustful thought that attracts white homosexuals to showing fraternity towards black people. When shortly we come to discuss a more modern myth, that of AIDS, black people will see just how genuine is that fraternity.

The Organised Homosexual Movement:

No Friends Of Jews.

No Friends Of Blacks Either

The repeated claims of the organised homosexual movement that they are "oppressed" and that this particular bunch of perverts needs special privileges, and public funding, is more than a little reminiscent of Organised Jewry whose whining and wailing about the Holocaust has been getting on everyone's nerves for the

past three decades and more. When one looks at the way the Zion-ists have treated the Palestinians - your Moslem brothers - one realises that it doesn't take long for the oppressed to become the oppressors. Homosexuals crossed that bridge some time ago. In February 1994 when a vote to lower the age of consent for sodomy to sixteen was lost, thousands of them stormed Parliament and abused MPs. This was in spite of the House voting to lower the age of consent to eighteen, which under the circumstances was certainly a concession to perversion. Peter Tatchell was quoted in the Times thus: "They have no respect for us, so we will have no respect for Parliament." He also threatened a major campaign of civil disobedience. (67) About ten arrests were reported. (68) Exactly why MPs or anyone should have any respect at all, or indeed anything but the utmost contempt for Tatchell and his kind, was not made clear. Respect is something that has to be earned, and it can't be earned by doing the disgusting, depraved things homosexuals do.

Like so many other "oppressed" minorities, the organised homosexual movement is both extremely well funded and extremely vocal. We have already seen how the queers scream about their alleged suffering under Nazism and their supposedly empathising with the Jews. We have also seen that devout Jews are no less revolted than all decent people by their sick, disgusting, stomach-churning practices. One such devout Jew who dared to speak out was Lord Jakobowits, (69) the Chief Rabbi Emeritus. In July 1993, he entered the controversy over genetic engineering and was reported in the Jewish Chronicle as being sympathetic to the idea of using this technique to eliminate the poison of homosexuality from society forever. (70)

The result of this was mock outrage by the militant homosexual group OutRage. A London synagogue was picketed, and Lord Jakobowits was subjected to a campaign of hatred and vilification such as is usually reserved for British National Party supporters. The group even had the gall to write to Prince Charles calling on him to cancel an honorary degree the distinguished Talmudic scholar was to be awarded by the University of Wales. (71)

Exactly why militant faggots and dykes should consider the elimination of sexual perversion through genetic engineering to be an outrage can be explained only by the self-evident fact that they are proud of living like dung beetles. By the same token, disabled people should be outraged that, with the advent of genetic engineering, it will be possible to avert many congenital abnormalities. Obviously this can be a slippery slope; all new technology, especially bio-technology, has the potential for misuse, but a world in which people no longer eat excrement or engage in anonymous sex in AIDS-infested bathhouses is a long way from the mythical world of blond-haired blue-eyed supermen which the Nazis were supposed to have schemed for. (72)

Needless to say, OutRage were rewarded for their perfidy with the stock charge of anti-Semitism, (73) but although, disgracefully, this noisy bunch of trouble-makers received some support from "progressive" Jews, they decided, very wisely, to bury the hatchet. (74) Organised Jewry is one of the most formidable

forces on the face of this planet, and they thought it better to fight with them against the common enemy - free speech - than to oppose them. At this stage. Naturally, the charge of anti-Semitism against OutRage was ludicrous; just as Organised Jewry routinely smear anyone they don't like as anti-Semitic, so militant homosexuals attack anyone who is anti-them, or whom they consider to be not in total sympathy with their desire to spread their perversion through every college, school and kindergarten to the far corners of the Earth.

If OutRage and the organised homosexual movement generally are no friends of real Jews, they are no friends of black people either, notwithstanding their protests against the mythical disease of racism. And they are no friends of black people because one does not libel one's friends, and that is what homosexuals have done to blacks. Which brings us at last to AIDS.

AIDS has of course become one of the major issues of the eighties and nineties. A syndrome rather than a disease, AIDS has not been quite the pandemic it was once predicted it would be. There is now no good evidence that it threatens the survival of the human species, and indeed all the evidence suggests that with a few minor exceptions it is likely to remain within the high risk groups. Originally these groups were homosexuals, intravenous drugs users and haemophiliacs. It is extremely unlikely that any more haemophiliacs will be infected, except perhaps on a very small scale in countries with very poor health care services; certain parts of Africa spring to mind. Probably they would have died there anyway.

Although the precise origin of AIDS is still open to debate, we have seen that it is primarily a disease of homosexual-related filth. Certainly it did originate in the homosexual community in America, which is overwhelmingly white, and male. (75) The connection was made at once, or nearly at once, because AIDS was originally called Gay-Related Immune Deficiency, (GRID). (76)

A certain amount of confusion set in though, and was deliberately sown, because homosexuals were not the only target group, a number of apparently straight men had also been infected with the disease, there were apparent similarities with infections in Africa, and there was a Haitian scare. (77) Finally there was the tragic case of the haemophiliacs. (78)

The infection of apparently heterosexual males was soon solved. Not all homosexuals are proud of their perversion, many married men and otherwise normal men - bi-sexuals to be strictly accurate - lead double lives, otherwise happily married, family men, who engage in depraved sex in public toilets with other men either spasmodically or regularly.

Fumento (already cited), offers some interesting comments on this. For 1987, he reports 18 heterosexual transmissions in San Francisco out of 3,661. For the same years he gives 30 out of 3,459 in Los Angeles and 12 out of 1,344 in Houston. (79) An interview with an Hispanic male reveals the frailty of human testimony. (80) For Hispanics in particular the stigma and shame of homosexuality leads most if not all to deny any sexual contacts with other men. Prostitutes are often blamed as vectors of

AIDS, but an investigation by officials in New York City found that: "Of 63 men with AIDS who reported prostitute contact but denied other exposure, 42 were later found to have a history of contact with homosexual men or had engaged in intravenous drug abuse." (81) One correspondent said: "In my experience, many men will have say they've had sex with a dog before they'll admit to sex with another man." (82)

Fumento adds the further enlightening comments on prostitutes:

"...prostitutes make handy scapegoats for men wishing to deny either homosexuality or drug abuse", (page 100). According to Fumento, many men who claim prostitutes gave them AIDS admit to homosexuality under repeated questioning. While on page 101 he concludes that while some prostitutes contracted AIDS from clients, most caught it from their pimps and boyfriends, who were drug abusers.

If the closet homosexual blames his contraction of the disease on prostitutes out of shame, the organised homosexual movement has orchestrated a campaign of disinformation against black people, specifically Africans, in order to direct the wrath of decent people away from their own vile practices.

In October 1986, Peter Tatchell wrote the following in the left wing journal 7 Days:

"Contrary to the mythology that Aids is a gay plague, the overwhelming majority of people with Aids are heterosexual, including as many women as men...Most of these Aids cases are in central Africa...In Africa it is estimated that 6% of the total population is now infected but in some countries it is much higher - 25% of the Malawi population, 23% of the Uganda population..." (83)

This was the same Peter Tatchell who believes one in ten of us is queer and who heads OutRage, the sickos who attacked the Chief Rabbi Emeritus for his principled stand against this poison. African scholars Richard and Rosalind Chirimuuta comment that "This attempt to deflect anti-homosexual fire onto central Africans shows little respect for either truth or logic." (84)

The authors are far too reserved, when such lying propaganda emanates from the likes of the British National Party the reaction is one of hysteria and demands for race act prosecutions.

Fumento too warns that the homosexual lobby has been responsible for a massive disinformation campaign, in particular he alleges that African (heterosexuals) have been blamed for the spread of AIDS. (85) On page 130 he gives the following figures for heterosexual transmission in the United States:

1% among whites
4% among Hispanics
5% among blacks

One suspects that the relatively high rates of heterosexual

transmission among Hispanics and blacks are due to intravenous drug abuse. This problem is well recognised in the inner cities. This is not to say that Africans and other blacks are totally blameless for the spread of AIDS; there are homosexuals among all races, but far fewer among blacks where the traditional extended family has not broken down, and where social mores make these obscene practices taboo. As far as there is an AIDS problem in Africa, this is due more to the primitive state of health care. The solution here is clearly investment in public hygiene projects, and decent standards of medical and hospital care. (86)

Recently, the sick, sordid attempts of homosexuals to foist the blame for their disease (AIDS) on Africans has met with violent disapproval from at least one African government. Black Marxist Robert Mugabe is probably no more committed to democracy than was Joe Stalin, but in January 1994 his government launched a crack-down on organised homosexuals in liberated Zimbabwe. (87) Mugabe declared shit-stabbing to be "for whites only" while his Home Affairs Minister said the government was anxious to arrest homosexuals because "[homosexuality] is illegal in this country". (88) Ironically, a group of faggots in South Africa called for a worldwide boycott of the country as a tourist destination. (89) Yes, South Africa, the world's pariah! To what depths will these people sink?

On a somewhat lighter note, while the indoctrination of white youth has been making steady progress, our young people are made of sterner stuff. In 1993, the same noisy bunch of trouble-makers, OutRage, attacked ragga singer Shabba Ranks for using so-called homophobic lyrics. Tatchell tried to intimidate the BBC into cancelling Ranks' appearance on the BBC's long-running Top of the Pops and claims that the corporation's head of light entertainment apologised for Ranks' inclusion on the show. The BBC denied making any such apology; (90) as like so many sections of the media it has done so much to promote this poison, it's about time Auntie drew the line somewhere. Ranks is not the only black performer to make the queens scream; in December 1992, Gay Times claimed that another Jamaican singer had been reported to the police for making a record calling for homosexuals to be shot. "Harlesden police are said to have accepted that the lyrics are an incitement to violence", it announced. Fortunately, no action appears to have been taken. (91)

Subverting The Black Press

So repugnant does the average black man and woman find the idea of homosexuality that to date even the notoriously Marxist-oriented black press has given the perverts little space to air their sick views. The Voice, which is probably the nearest thing we've got to a black Sun, has published the odd article in defence of the gay lifestyle. In January 1988, it published a disgraceful pro-homo article, but the previous June it had published a much more promising one, by a black Christian, (of which there are

very many), which attacked this perversion in no uncertain terms. (92)

The January article reported that black churches condemned homosexuality in no uncertain terms; one pastor from Brixton attacked this sordid perversion with the incisive comment that if God had wanted man to have sex with man he would have created Adam and Adam. It was also reported that queers had been beaten up in Brixton, but on the down side, a so-called "Medical expert" estimated that bisexuals and queers make up 10 per cent of the American black population. This is pure Kinsey and total nonsense, the mythical one in ten. Another homosexual propagandist (or idiot) claimed that there is more anal sex practised by heterosexuals than by homos. The June 1987 article however took an uncompromising stand against perversion. The author condemned the indoctrination of schoolchildren in Haringey by homosexual propaganda as the work of the Devil, as it surely is.

Black people must make sure that any articles favourable to homosexuality published in the black press are few and far between. They should not be afraid to write letters to the editor protesting about such open subversion, and if it continues they should boycott the offending publication.

No Friends Of Blacks. No Friends Of Anyone

In the final analysis, like all other noisy, vociferous, left wing pressure groups and lobbies, the organised homosexual movement doesn't give a damn about anyone or anything other than its own agenda. Homosexuals might be damned in the next world, but they have damned many innocent people in this one, especially haemophiliacs. Reisman and Eichel (already cited) claim that homosexual activists attempted to interfere with the introduction of blood-donor screening as long ago as 1983. (93) This was long after the connection was made between hepatitis B and homosexual practices. Sodomites are notoriously infested with this blood-borne disease.

In his book, Shilts - who can hardly be called a homophobe - claims, or rather boasts, that homosexuals screamed that screening of blood supplies was the equivalent of "scapegoating" them.

"Representatives from gay organizations...firmly opposed taking any action to screen blood donors, saying the screening would pose serious civil rights questions." he said. Understandably, haemophiliacs' representatives were stunned: "what about a hemophilic's right to life?" they asked. (94)

While in Washington, fag leaders intimidated Red Cross officials into excluding sexual orientation from a questionnaire for donors. And in New York, the National Gay Task Force rounded up virtually every homosexual leader in Manhattan to stand on the steps of the New York Blood Center where they denounced efforts to screen donors. (95) The result of course was that haemophiliacs, totally innocent people, were infected with this terrible disease en masse, and for what purpose? To spare the hurt feel-

ings of the spiritual heirs of Sodom and Gomorrah. People who will stoop to such depths, and in this case, to actually cause the deaths of hundreds, or even thousands, of innocent people, in order to protect a good name none of them deserves to possess, such people are beneath contempt and deserve everything God and Nature bestows on them.

Not Just AIDS

It is a truism that a good general can turn a disadvantage into an asset. Ironically, this is what the organised homosexual movement has done with AIDS. Incredible as it may seem, this most foul and lethal of incurable syndromes has actually been romanticised by the homosexual network; billions of dollars have been poured into AIDS research and auxiliary services on both sides of the Atlantic, much of it to further the spread of lying homosexual propaganda. One AID-infested faggot actually went so far as to claim that AIDS was not a weakness but a strength! (96) But AIDS is far from the only disease with which homosexuals are infested, as we have seen. It is not just AIDS which destroys the immune system, the very nature of homosexual acts can also do this.

Antonio (already cited) reports that "Sodomy has proven debilitating to the immune systems of passive recipients apart from AIDS infection...Human seminal fluid apparently contains components that potentially can suppress the immune response." (97)

This should be obvious to any thoughtful person; notwithstanding damage to the immune system and increased susceptibility to other diseases, homosexuality is also a disease of the spirit.

Make A Stand Now: Tomorrow It May Be Too Late

It is an indisputable fact that the common man, and woman, know what is best for their families and communities far better than the social planners and other "experts". This is not to say that we don't need experts; certainly a hospital cannot be run on democratic lines, much less an operating theatre. What is needed here is not democracy but accountability. The political gerrymanderers and pressure groups who are pushing the poison of homosexuality do so without regard to what ordinary decent men and women of all races want. Just as "anti-racism" has been forced down white people's throats, and as a result has caused much resentment and intolerance, so gay rights is being forced down everybody's throats. And when they object to it, they are denounced as homophobes. The proper reaction to this should be, so what? No man or woman alive should be ashamed to proclaim to the world that they abhor homosexual practices and everything that goes with them. And no parent of any race should be afraid to stand up and say to the world: I DON'T WANT MY CHILDREN INDOCTRINATED WITH

THIS POISON.

The only thing that prevents ordinary people from doing this is their innate sense of fair play. but this sense of fair play is terribly misguided. If you come home and find someone burgling your house you do not breach a sense of fair play by overpowering him if he's smaller than you. If a woman is being assaulted or raped, she doesn't breach a sense of fair play by striking her assailant in the one place it hurts all men. And when perverts bring their filth and bizarre practices into your children's schools and attempt to indoctrinate them, you do not breach a sense of fair play by treating them the way Jesus treated the money-changers in the temple. You throw them out and tell them to practice their perversion elsewhere, and to indoctrinate someone else.

Ordinary people, and especially parents, must not feel ashamed to speak out against the poison of the organised homosexual movement. They must not even feel intimidated, because if they are, they will allow this noisy, vicious minority to impose their poison on the rest of society, and on their own children.

In 1976, a man named Robert Relf was sent to gaol because he refused to sell his house to a black man. He placed a sign in his front garden advertising his house for sale to an English (white) family only. This was in contravention of the Race Relations Act. When he refused to remove the sign, the "anti-racist" lobby had him thrown into prison. (98)

Robert Relf is a bigot, and no friend of black people. A lot of black people, and a hell of a lot of "anti-racists" crowed over this, but what they failed and still fail to realise is that when Robert Relf's property rights are violated, all our property rights suffer. When the Robert Relfs of this world are denied their civil liberties, we all are. The Race Relations Act has done more to create ill-feeling by white people against non-whites than any bunch of pillocks in Welling spewing out their anti-black poison. It has also set a terrifying precedent. And make no mistake, next on the agenda will be a Homosexual Relations Act.

You may laugh at the very suggestion of this, but homosexuals have been lobbying for years against all forms of "discrimination". And to date they have had considerable success. The next thing they will demand - and get - is pervert quotas, the same way racial quotas have been enacted in the United States. This will mean that if you are an employer - and many black people are - you will have to employ a certain number of homosexuals or face prosecution, and gaol. Imagine if you run a restaurant. Do you really want these people waiting on tables or handling food when you know full well that the previous night they've been shoving their fists up someone else's arse?

If you are a landlord - and many black people are - you will be forced to let rooms to homosexuals. If you refuse to let a room to one he will take you to a tribunal and the onus will be on you to prove that you didn't reject him as a tenant solely on account of his sexual orientation. How on Earth are you supposed to do that? Even if you win the case you will probably have to pay all

or some of your own costs, which may run into thousands of pounds. It is already a common practice among employers sued for alleged discrimination to settle out of court to avoid lengthy court cases and crippling legal fees.

The Race Relations Act makes it illegal to use words in a manner that would be likely to incite racial hatred. This could and does cover virtually anything. It has been ruled for example that the claims of certain racists that black people are less intelligent than whites is itself racist, presumably this means anti-black. And Organised Jewry, who interpret any lack of sympathy with their aspirations - to ride roughshod over the rest of mankind - have even smeared the likes of Ken Livingstone as anti-Semitic and tried to have him prosecuted under the Race Relations Act. (99) Taking this a step farther, this could mean that for you to claim that most of the world's best boxers, sprinters or reggae singers are black - as they are - amounts to incitement. This is clearly absurd.

Applying this to the subject of sexual perversion, under a piece of queer-inspired legislation you could find yourself thrown into gaol because you said you didn't want your kids to grow up queer, or because you cracked a joke about "poofs". The list goes on. And of course, by demanding that your sons and daughters not be indoctrinated with this filth, you could also be in breach of the law. They would, of course, be indoctrinated with it anyway, whether you objected or not.

If you think all the above sounds absurd, think how absurd it would have sounded to a Nineteenth Century American slave if he had been told that a hundred and fifty years from then white men would be sent to gaol if they refused to sell their houses to black men. "Pull de udda one, White Boss!"

Listen To The Common People

In spite of all the wailing and whining of the organised homosexual movement and their fellow travellers in the collectivist left, (100) ordinary people remain as resolutely opposed to gay "rights" as they do towards forced race-mixing, indeed, more so. And they certainly don't want this poison forced down the throats of their children, or any children. The analogy with race is in any case spurious, because while there is nothing quite so stupid as to judge a man by the colour of his skin, judging him by the company he keeps and the practices he engages in with his "friends" is a different thing entirely. When the Chief Rabbi Emeritus mooted the suggestion that the poison of homosexuality could be eradicated from society forever by means of genetic engineering, the queers and dykes erupted. Yet he was not suggesting that people should be physically exterminated, simply that a particularly depraved lifestyle should vanish from the face of the Earth where its continued existence remains an abomination and an affront to both God and Man.

Peter Tatchell's Briefing article (already cited) actually sug-

gests that homosexuals celebrate their sexuality, indeed, this has become a virtual war cry of the queer brigade. (101) The organised homosexual movement go so far as to demand not just gay "rights" but gay "pride". If your son comes to you and says "Dad, Mom, I passed my A Levels, grade one in all subjects", you have a right to feel proud. If he brings home a delightful young lady of his own race and introduces her as his prospective fiancée, again you would rightly feel proud. But what if he comes home and tells you he has been charged by the police with importuning for immoral purposes in a public toilet? Or that he had to go to hospital the previous night to have a pencil sharpener removed from his rectum? Celebrate their sexuality, indeed. Further comment would be superfluous.

On February 14th, 1993, a BBC TV programme carried as vile a piece of homosexual propaganda as one could imagine. In keeping with media lies and misrepresentation, homosexuals were portrayed as jolly good chaps, just like the rest of us. And potential foster parents. The previous month, it was announced, Hampshire County Council had said that in future it would consider homosexuals as potential foster parents. The most sickening thing about the programme was the way a group of children had obviously been schooled to believe that it didn't matter if their foster parent(s) were homosexual. To paraphrase the Conservative councillor quoted earlier: "A TV programme that portrays two homosexuals and a child as a family is obscene."

In spite of the blanket opposition to this sort of poison from parents, and non-parents, of all races, the organised homosexual movement and their powerful friends in the extreme left and the corrupt media continue to bombard the public with this filth, poison and obscenity. It is time the government listened to the common people and put an end to this nonsense for good.

What You Can Do - Part 1

We have already said you must make a stand now, but how do you make a stand? The so-called race relations industry has succeeded in forcing on this country some of the most Draconian and repressive legislation ever enacted anywhere at any time. This came about because the media, and leftists, (102) were able to convince the legislators, and to some extent the public, that black people were the victims of injustice, and that this injustice could only be rectified by legislation. Even then, this reasoning is faulty; if a white man calls you a nigger and you shrug it off he might eventually get the message that your race is not intimidated by foul language. He might also learn by the opprobrium of his peers that he isn't really being so smart. If on the other hand for this insult he is dragged in front of a magistrate and fined, or even sent to gaol, the chances are that his obvious contempt for you may turn to loathing and even outright hatred.

But all this is by the way. The way the politically correct pricks of the pro-race-mixing lobby were able to bring about all

this repressive "race relations" legislation was by appealing to the public's and Parliament's sense of fair play. However much racism is present in white society, most white people, like most people of other races, are not bigots at heart. They, like you, may crack coarse jokes about people of other races, along with jokes about women, the disabled and so on, but at the end of the day, most people have this sense of fair play deep down. However bigoted a person may be, there is always something inside him which says give the guy a fair shot. Okay, he may be black (or whatever) but accept him for what he is and judge him on his merits.

Most people, even religious fanatics, also have this sense of fairness where homosexuals are concerned. But this sense of fair play and equality of opportunity does not extend to their giving dung-eaters an equal opportunity to spread their poison throughout society; it does not extend to their being compelled to employ homosexuals, to let their property to them, to associate with them under threat of imprisonment or legal harassment. And it certainly does not extend to giving them any sort of opportunity to corrupt their children.

As much as homosexuals may whine about discrimination, they are not averse to practising it themselves. Delph, (already cited) gives the following instance of "discrimination" against heterosexuals:

"Gay bars, pig parlors, and gay baths are owned and operated...expressly for the enjoyment of homosexuals. Not only unwelcome, heterosexuals are systematically discouraged from any patronage...the bartender may refuse to serve him or management may simply ask him or her to leave the premises." (103)

While in some cases, "the cashier will state outright that the bath is only for homosexuals". (104)

Exactly why any normal person would want to frequent one of these establishments, and possibly contract some vile terminal disease, is not made clear, but the point should be taken. Black people in particular are constantly being reminded of the evils of discrimination, but of course, discrimination is simply another word for choosing, or in this case, choosing not to. If a person finds the company of other races offensive, that is sad, although at the end of the day most of us prefer to be among our own kind. (105) But if a person finds the company of homosexuals offensive, this is on account of their vile practices. No one has the right to make us feel bad because we find sodomy, dung-eating, or anonymous sex with people of the same sex offensive, and therefore do not wish to associate with people who engage in such practices. And no one has the right to demand that we indoctrinate our children into believing that this sort of sickness is a positive alternative to traditional family values.

In reality, the deluge of queer propaganda, which emanates not just from the organised homosexual movement but from its allies, is constantly reminding us that if we condemn homosexuality, or

even if we do not accept it, or do not want it thrust upon our kids, if we reject it at all, we are not just bad people, but evil or even sick. We must make a stand against the perverts, and we must do it now. If we don't, then the same thing will happen here as has happened with all our other precious civil liberties, they will be destroyed. Just as the Zionist lobby, the "anti-racist" lobby and other powerful vested interests have used whining, wailing, wire-pulling and every dirty trick in the book to destroy our freedoms, so is the queer brigade using them, and worse, to destroy any opposition to the spread of their disease. Rest assured, if we don't take a stand against them, they will foist their poison on our children. We have already alluded to the serious disorder outside the House of Commons. If they will do that, they will stop at nothing, they are fanatics, and like all fanatics, they believe any means justifies the end. Including terrorism and outright murder.

To date, organised resistance has been muted, and has come mainly from the radical right and the "Christian" right. Censorship campaigner Mary Whitehouse may be a thoroughly evil woman, but she's on the right track here. We are not suggesting for one moment that you should support her, but there are things that you can do. Already, one black person has taken a strong stand against the indoctrination of our children, and all the parents of Britain should be thankful to him.

In January 1994, the headmistress of a primary school in Hackney, London, self-professed lesbian Jane Brown, banned her pupils from visiting the theatre because the play they were to have seen, Shakespeare's Romeo and Juliet, was heterosexist. Such lunacy was too much for the council's chiefs and she was suspended, but the governors reinstated her; the one dissenting voice was that of black governor Ken Hanson, a devout Baptist. (106)

We would not suggest that this headmistress should have been kicked out simply because she is a lesbian, apart from the fact that lesbian practices are not as vile and disease-ridden as male homosexual practices, but she was not only a lesbian but an overt lesbian, a member of Hackney "gay and lesbian teachers' group". (107) Every black parent, every parent, should ask how it is that such groups are allowed to operate when they have the obvious intention of indoctrinating their pupils with the idea that these obscene practices are normal.

At the same time it was reported that Hackney Council had advertised in the Guardian newspaper for a homosexual to fight the spread of HIV! This is a bit like hiring an arsonist to advise on fire prevention. The advertisement read "We need a gay man [sic] highly committed to fighting the spread of HIV..." (108) It goes without saying that if any gay man is genuinely committed to fighting the spread of HIV that the very first thing he should do is give up queer sex! The Conservative opposition leader Joe Loebenstein, an Orthodox Jew, rightly condemned the appointment as outrageous. (109) It is though a pity that the indoctrination of the British people with this poison has reached such a stage that it is virtually only the deeply religious who dare to speak out against it.

The Subversion Of Religion

Unfortunately, religious belief has long since ceased to be a guarantee of a person's character. Homosexuals have insinuated their way into the church on both sides of the Atlantic, while in the United States there is even a homosexual movement among practising Jews. (110) In 1976, censorship campaigner Mary Whitehouse initiated a private prosecution against the homosexual publications Gay News. This was for blasphemous libel, and concerned a grossly offensive - and undoubtedly blasphemous - poem concerning the violation of the body of the dead Christ by a queer necrophiliac. To their credit, a number of homosexuals supported this prosecution. (111) Not so the Gay Christian Movement [sic]. In the May 1977 issue of their magazine it was reported that a meeting was attended by Richard Creed and Denis Lemon from Gay News, and that the magazine expressed its support in the fight against the blasphemy prosecution. (112) In the same issue of this magazine, it was revealed that a collection had been made for the Gay News fighting fund. (113)

These declarations, shocking though they were, should have come as no surprise because the magazine also spelled out its position on sodomy in the clearest possible terms:

"IT IS THE CONVICTION OF THE MEMBERS OF THE GAY CHRISTIAN MOVEMENT THAT HUMAN SEXUALITY IS A GIFT OF GOD..." and that "IT IS ENTIRELY COMPATIBLE WITH THE CHRISTIAN FAITH NOT ONLY TO LOVE ANOTHER PERSON OF THE SAME SEX BUT ALSO TO EXPRESS THAT LOVE FULLY IN A PERSONAL SEXUAL RELATIONSHIP." (114)

If sodomy is a gift from God, who is AIDS a gift from? If Mary Whitehouse and her fellow Christians were shocked by this piece of subversive queer trash, so too were a lot of black people, because the churches, especially the evangelical churches, are very strong in many black communities. This sort of poison is a slap in the face for every God-fearing person of whatever race.

But this organisation's subversive agenda goes far beyond giving aid and comfort to those who wilfully blaspheme the name of our Lord, it is also avowedly Marxist. Another issue featured advertisements from the Friends Homosexual Friendship, Gay Bereavement Project (in heavy demand since the advent of AIDS) and, most bizarre of all, the British Gay Philatelic Society. (115) An advertisement for Gay Icebreakers openly proclaimed this group to be "a collective of gay men dedicated to bringing about the end of civilisation as we know it." (116) Its other, overt Marxist claptrap included such well worn rhetoric as 95% of the wealth is controlled by 5% of the people, opposition to the evils of sexism, and the claim that blacks are more likely to be arrested or unemployed. (117) Certainly blacks are more likely not to be employed in homosexual dens of iniquity, and though they are more prone to arrest for mugging, they are far less prone to be arrested for gross indecency and other homosexual-related offences.

What You Can Do - Part 2

In order to combat the poison of homosexual degeneracy and indoctrination the first thing needed is moral courage. YOU MUST BE PREPARED TO SPEAK OUT AGAINST THIS CANCER AND TO DENOUNCE IT IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS. The only way the organised homosexual movement has managed to impose its agenda on so many people and institutions in our society is by constant lobbying and wailing and whining about oppression. These people have no right to claim the moral high ground, this belongs to you.

As with the race issue, most people are frightened of or intimidated into not speaking out. The constant chorus of gay "rights" activists screaming their heads off gives the erroneous impression that they are both numerous and in the right. In reality, even with their indoctrination programmes, homosexuals still make up a tiny percentage of the population, (as demonstrated). And as AIDS decimates them they will make up an even smaller percentage. The fact that they are able to muster a noisy, and as we have seen, at times violent, lobby, does not mean they are anything but sick, diseased scum who seek by whatever means to impose their perverted agenda on everyone else. The reader might like to bear in mind the following quote:

"It is well known to students of rhetoric that people may become convinced of a false premise or an illogical conclusion if it is merely asserted loudly enough, often enough, or with sufficient conviction...A common tactic of demagogues is to use inflammatory images to drive people into fear and hate beyond the reach of rational discussion." (118)

Don't allow these degenerates to instil fear in you; hate and loathing for their practices, yes, but not fear. Your silence is their victory.

Having reclaimed the moral high ground and spoken out against this poison, the next thing to do is to make sure that it is kept out of schools. In the broader context you should endeavour to make sure that not one penny of public money is spent on pushing homosexuality, making it look clean, respectable, acceptable, or portraying it as anything but the disease and perversion it is. This means that you should organise your own lobbies against homosexuality. You can do this purely as a black anti-queer lobby if you wish, but it is certain that you will receive considerable support from other sections of the community, including organisations which have no love for black people. Indeed, there is no reason you should not attempt to build a non-denominational popular front against this most sordid of perversions.

When the GLC was handing out money to left wing and "radical" groups left, right and centre, one of the recipients of its largess was Gay Men's Press [sic], the publishers of that obnoxious piece of filth Jenny lives with Eric and Martin. In the financial year 1983-4, this purveyor of pederasty and poison received a total of £15,000 in GLC approved grants. In the same year, the

London Gay Teenage Group [sic] of Islington, received grants totalling £14,301. (119) Let us reiterate, neither the government nor local government has any money of its own. Any money it raises either by taxation or by borrowing comes ultimately from the public. You! The GLC gravy train was funded by Londoners. London's ratepayers included then, as now, many, many black people. In effect, the money given to Gay Men's Press [sic] to poison the minds of their children was stolen from them. This is something black people have a right to be angry about. It goes without saying that the overwhelming majority of white people can't have been happy with it either. In fact, any parent of any race who doesn't object strenuously to this sort of thing doesn't deserve to be a parent.

Finally, you should oppose and indeed campaign for the abolition of all anti-discrimination legislation. Attractive though such legislation may seem, especially in the field of "race relations", it is almost entirely counterproductive and causes far more resentment and hatred than it prevents. In a truly free society the only arbiter of judgment should be the marketplace. We have already discussed the case of the anti-black bigot Robert Relf who was gaoled for refusing to sell his house to a non-English person, as though he were a common criminal. For argument's sake, let's say that Relf had put his house on the market for £50,000. Now a black man comes along and offers him £52,000. What is he to do? The current writer has met a lot of anti-black bigots over the years, but I've never met one who hated black men more than he liked their money. And if he does, in the final analysis, so what?

We are told that racism permeates white society and that on this account more and more anti-discrimination legislation is necessary. This is total poppycock. It presupposes the existence of a massive conspiracy operating among white people whereby no one will employ a black, no one will sell him anything and no one will associate with him in any way, manner, shape or form without being compelled to by law. The reality is that the only kind of society where one race - or class - can be held down by another is one in which discrimination is enshrined in law. Such was the case with South Africa. Contrary to socialist propaganda, capitalism does not promote racism but erodes it.

As we have I hope demonstrated, the analogy homosexuals draw with race is a false one, and downright insulting to ordinary, decent black people who don't engage in acts of depravity with strange men in public toilets, who don't practise rimming, fisting or any of the other perversions of these degenerates. Just as you would not employ a convicted thief as a police officer or a rapist as a gynaecologist, so should the perverts be prevented from indoctrinating our children. This means that homosexual activists should not be employed as teachers or in any other positions of authority where they may abuse their positions to poison the minds of the young. Let us stress again that we are not suggesting that the average homosexual is a risk to children in the sense that he would abuse his position to abuse them physically, but we have seen, in the case of primary school

headmistress Jane Brown, that there is a serious risk of our children and youth being seduced in other, less direct and sinister ways.

A suggestion the current writer would like to make is that, just as everyone prefers the company of their own kind, and as homosexuals do for the most part, it might be worth considering the creation of homosexual ghettos in areas where they are thick on the ground. It wouldn't have to be either funded by public money or to involve any sort of legislation, but homosexuals could be encouraged to congregate, to live, work and socialise in their own sin cities. Special by-laws just for them, so that for example in these areas it would be legal to engage in perverted sex with strangers in public toilets. They could have their own bathhouses, their own clubs, their own shops and businesses, and sodomise each other silly, drowning in their own poison to their hearts' content. The only thing they could have would be our children.

A Note On "Gay" Youth Groups

A brief note here on gay youth and gay youth groups. We have demonstrated how the organised homosexual movement's constant lobbying and endorsement of bogus statistics, including the Kinsey report, has been used to spread the nonsense that homosexuality is extremely common and therefore "natural". (120) It is truly amazing how many academics have been taken in by this nonsense, but there is no need for you to be. For example, a book on gay and lesbian youth published in the United States in 1989 asserts that there are 30 million young people in the US between 10 and 20, "10% of whom are felt to be predominantly or exclusively homosexual." (121)

Exactly how a ten year old child can be classified as "predominantly or exclusively homosexual" is not explained; most children of that age are too immersed in popular music, roller-skating, computer games or any of a hundred and one different things to even think about sex in any meaningful sense of the words. Schoolboy lavatory humour, wolf whistles and other immature schoolboyish/girlish nonsense are all part of the healthy and inevitable process of growing up. One might just as well talk about a kiss under the mistletoe as an act of sexual awareness.

An essay in the same book (by a PhD!) claims that "Pregnancy among teenage lesbians is becoming a conspicuous phenomenon...", (122) this is put down to teenage confusion; a moment's reflection will reveal to the reader how absurd that statement is. The same author talks matter of factly about 15 and 16 year old lesbians, and indeed the entire book talks matter of factly about gay and bisexual youth.

The idea that a fifteen year old or sixteen year old is capable of making such a decision that he or she is homosexual is of course nonsense of the first order. But since the seventies a plethora of groups have sprung up in cities throughout the UK,

and doubtless in the United States and elsewhere as well aimed at convincing young people that if they feel, or think they feel, an attraction to someone of the same sex, that they should "come out". This is a particularly despicable activity, preying on the emotions of confused young people, the overwhelming majority of whom probably have some sort of crush on an older classmate, which at best is hero worship or envy, at worst a passing fad.

In 1980, the Joint Council For Gay Teenagers [sic] published an eight page pamphlet giving instructions on how to set up gay youth groups [sic]. This offered, among other things: "education", which included instruction on how homosexuals are "oppressed", and about sexism and agism, two other mythical diseases. (123)

All gay youth groups should be opposed if not banned outright. The correct person to counsel your son or daughter on homosexuality is you, because you have all the experience you need: you were once the same age. The spread of gay "literature" including the aforementioned pieces of poison Daddy's Roommate and How Would You Feel...? etc should also be opposed, nay, it should be fought tooth and claw. This need not entail censorship, indeed, all censorship, political, racial, sexual, should always be opposed. (124) But this does not mean that we must allow the minds of our children and young people to be corrupted by this poison; when a young person reaches the age of eighteen then he or she is an adult in law and should be allowed to read whatever he or she wants, however offensive. Until your children reach that age, it is your duty and the duty of teachers and other guardians to ensure that they are educated rather than indoctrinated.

With regard to libraries, the position is equally clear, such books as Daddy's Roommate are not suitable for a children's library, even with parental guidance. Many would argue that they are not suitable for a public library at all, indeed, as such books are clearly aimed at children, they should be removed from the shelves of all public libraries and institutions except perhaps institutions of higher learning, university libraries for example, where they will doubtless be of use to students studying forensic psychology (in particular mental illness), and subversive literature.

The Real Positive Alternative

If so far we have said very little that reflects well on homosexuals, this is for the obvious reason that homosexuality reflects well on no one. However, we would like to end this dissertation on a positive note.

The word gay which has been hijacked by the organised homosexual movement to describe its lurid practices and sinful lifestyle is a double misnomer. Not only are such practices anything but gay, but many homosexuals are unhappy, even tortured people. Homosexuality is as much a disease of the spirit as of the mind, and

there is a great deal of evidence for this from a number of sources.

The Truth At Last is an extreme right wing, American Christian Fundamentalist newspaper; originally published as the Thunderbolt, it is both virulently anti-Semitic and anti-black. But even bigots talk sense now and again. In issue 364, (undated but published c1993), the paper published a two page in-depth article on homosexuality which revealed, among other things, that while the median age of death for white married men is 75, the median age of death for homosexual men is a mere 42 with only 9% living past the age of 65. Lesbians fared little better; the median age of death for white, married women is given as 79 while for lesbians the median lifespan is 45 with 24% living past the age of 65. Male homosexuals who contract AIDS obviously die younger; mean age of death - with AIDS given as the cause of death - was a mere 39 years.

Although one always has to be careful how one interprets statistics, the murder rates and suicide rates given here - 100 times and 25 times that of the norm respectively - appear to indicate that homosexuals are at risk from their sexual partners in more ways than one. We need not go into any further details about the myriad sexually transmitted and other diseases with which homosexuals are infested.

All this does tend to indicate that homosexuals are by and large extremely unhappy people; the fact that the leaders of this movement, and its militants, openly wallow in their filth, should not blind us to this fact. The practice of "outing" closet queens engaged in by groups like OutRage is all the more disgusting for this reason. The undeniable fact is that many homosexuals are not - in the words of song writer and homosexual activist Tom Robinson - "glad to be gay".

Earlier we discussed the case of degenerate Joe Orton. It would be difficult to imagine a more diseased soul than his, but the same cannot be said of his partner-in-filth Kenneth Halliwell. Halliwell, who was older than Orton, battered his lover to death on August 9th 1967 then committed suicide. In Shepherd's biography of the two men, the one which supposedly "reclaims them for the gay movement [sic]", Halliwell comes across as a tragic figure rather than a sick one, as Orton obviously was.

Incidentally, as well as a disgusting sodomite who wallowed in his own filth, Orton was a paedophile. He made three visits to Tangier where he procured the sexual services of Arab boys. In The Orton Diaries, he described in lurid detail how he violated one such youth:

"I took the boy (who is about fifteen) into the room...When I'd got a spanking good hard on, I turned the lad over and, using a little grease mixed with my spit, I put my prick up his arse...While I fucked him, I pressed his prick between my clenched fist and had a truly satisfactory orgasm." (125)

This is of course one of the reasons white homosexuals make common cause with the "anti-racist" movement; many of them would

like to do that to your sons. Doubtless, homosexual apologists will claim that as the boys were willing - Orton paid them - he shouldn't be blamed for corrupting them. Parents of all races might like to consider the implications of further lowering the age of consent for sodomites. If the age of consent is reduced to sixteen, Orton's spiritual heirs may end up doing that sort of thing with your twelve year old son with impunity. "Honest, your worship, he told me he was sixteen and he led me on."

Orton's biographer Shepherd is either a shameless faggot himself or fawningly pro-homo; he does his best to elevate Orton and to condemn Halliwell, who, he says, was infused with hatred of his own sexuality. (126) At one point in the narrative Halliwell exclaims: "Homosexuals disgust me!" (127) It is as difficult not to feel compassion for the tragic, tortured Halliwell as it is not to condemn Orton. It is doubly tragic that so many of today's homosexuals wallow in their perversion, like Orton, and like him elevate it to an art form. It is even more tragic that there are so few individuals and groups who might rescue the tormented souls among active homosexuals, like Halliwell.

There are though some individual homosexuals who, to their credit, have not only broken with their obscene practices but have done their best to save others from lives of sleaze and sin. One of these is Peter Robicheau of the American group Homosexuals Anonymous. He has been barred from TV talk shows and says: "They want no voice for those who say 'this is not normal and we want to help you.'" (128) The media are every bit as responsible for the spread of this vile disease as are homosexual militants. They should be condemned just as loudly. It is not enough for black people simply to condemn homosexuality, and to drive the spreaders of this perversion out of society the same way Christ drove the money-changers out of the temple; we must offer those who want to come off the chance to free themselves from the shackles of this terrible disease, and to wash their sins away. For the sake of Kenneth Halliwell, and for the sake of Freddie Mercury, whose tragically shortened life we shall now discuss.

From Elton John To Freddie Mercury. And A Ray Of Hope

Elton John is one of the most talented and gifted singer song writers of his generation if not of all time. The December 1992 edition of Gay Times (which incorporates Gay News) said of John that he had "at last come out as gay". (129) But had he? Elton John admitted, or rather claimed, that he was bisexual as long ago as 1976. (130) The truth though is that although he is rightly one of the most successful composers, performers and entertainers of his generation, Elton John appears to have led a far from happy life. In 1984, after a whirlwind romance and much to the surprise of the so-called experts, he announced he was to marry Renate Blauel, his German recording engineer. The marriage was short lived, but ended amicably. He was said to have settled with his ex-spouse on very generous terms, (131) as has always

been his nature.

In spite of his alleged bi-sexuality and/or homosexuality, John has never publicly endorsed this perversion, to his everlasting credit, and indeed, when he was libelled outrageously by the Sun newspaper, one of the most sordid gutter rags in Britain, (132) he hit the paper with an astonishing seventeen writs, and settled out of court for a million pounds, the biggest out of court settlement in British legal history. (133)

The reality is that the Elton Johns of this world are not homosexuals, or bisexuals, but confused individuals. It is a sad fact that some people can become wealthy or be born into wealth beyond most people's wildest imaginings, and have everything but happiness. The inordinately high divorce rate among successful show business personalities of both sexes is surely testimony to that; many of them marry several times.

Nearly as big a star and outrageous a showman as Elton John was Freddie Mercury, front man for the rock group Queen. Queen were one of the most innovative rock bands of all time, and Mercury was the driving force behind them. Like John, Mercury was also a talented song writer; unlike John he was overtly bi-sexual, although according to his obituary in Gay Times, he "...never accepted the role of an openly gay crusader." (134) On Saturday, November 23, 1991, following months of speculation in the press, Mercury admitted he was suffering from AIDS. (135) He'd had the disease for five years. (136) The following day he was dead. Although Mercury often flaunted his sexuality in his performances, it was always in a tongue-in-cheek fashion; he lacked the bitchiness of proper queens like the sick, diseased slime of OutRage and other gay pride type groups. The last photograph of Freddie Mercury showed him not as the over-the-top rock star strutting around the stage making explicit gestures with the microphone, but as an AIDS-infested, diseased sodomite.

The Sport, an even more despicable newspaper than the Sun, said of him: "OKAY, so Freddie Mercury was a brilliant entertainer. He was also a menace to society...who spread his killer virus with characteristic gay abandon. If he were a dog he'd have been put down five years ago." (137) Sadly, this was not an isolated comment. One journalist, probably sick to death with hysterical homosexual rights activists, wrote in the Glasgow Daily Record: "NO-ONE is allowed to advance the view that gay sex is unnatural or indecent or offensive - or dangerous." (138)

Five months after Mercury's death, a massive concert was staged in his honour. The Mercury memorial concert was attended by 72,000 people and was seen via satellite link up by as many as a billion people in 73 countries. (139) Many, many of these people were black of course, as well as of many other races. The people who attended the concert, and who watched it, did so not to pay tribute to Freddie Mercury the AIDS-infested wretch who died pitifully and miserably at the premature age of 45, but to celebrate the man and his music.

When he died, Freddie Mercury's fortune was estimated at £25 million; he was thought to have left a large tranche of it to AIDS research. (140) In reality, he left considerably less,

although still immensely wealthy, his fortune amounted to somewhat more than £8 million net, and he left not one penny of it to either AIDS research or AIDS charities. (141) One cannot help but think that this was because he had seen the error of his ways.

Music, more than any other medium, has brought people of all races together, and has done so without the exploitation of the anti-white bigots and Marxists who make up the misnamed "anti-racist" movement. Freddie Mercury played a small but significant role in breaking down the barriers of bigotry. Such a man deserved a better fate than that which he suffered.

Black people, all black people, must strive to make sure that future generations not only remain free of the terrible disease of AIDS, but of its root cause and in some ways the even more terrible disease of homosexuality. There have always been and always will be enemies of our inheritance just as there have always been and always will be enemies of our freedom. The struggle for freedom begins with the struggle for individual rights, and the struggle for the future begins with the struggle for the minds of our children. The organised homosexual movement has declared war on our freedom; it demands "anti-discrimination" legislation, it demands the "right" to invade our businesses, our communities, and even our homes, demanding that we operate a quota system, an "equal opportunities" policy which makes it obligatory for us to employ or even to forcibly associate with sodomites. And it does so under pain of being branded homophobic, or even, if they get their way, of imprisonment.

One researcher said of the organised homosexual movement's hate campaign that "The cruelest form of injustice employed by the gay lobby [sic] is to accuse sensitive, decent people of bigotry, hatred, and 'homophobia' for simply following their own moral consciences and seeing abnormal as abnormal." (142) That means ordinary, decent, unfanatical, law-abiding black people like you and me.

We must not allow these perverts and degenerates to invade our society, much less our homes, and certainly not our kindergartens. If you care about black people, if you care about human beings, you must make a stand now. Our children are our inheritance, if we don't protect them, everything black people have striven for since the abolition of slavery will be lost. You have a duty not to let that happen.

Notes And References

- (1) The term gay cat referred to "a homosexual boy", and first appeared in print in 1933. In 1955, the English journalist Peter Wildblood defined gay as an American euphemism for homosexual. [See Volume I, page 456 of the Encyclopedia of Homosexuality, Edited by Wayne R. Dynes, published by St James Press, London, (1990)].
- (2) A few inches of flesh, by Peter Tatchell, published in, Labour Briefing, February 1993, page 14. [This magazine is generally known simply as Briefing. It started life as London Labour Briefing, but although it is run by Labour Party supporters it is not an official Labour Party publication].
- (3) A few inches of flesh, (ibid).
- (4) SEXUAL BEHAVIOR IN THE HUMAN MALE, by Alfred C. Kinsey, Wardell B. Pomeroy and Clyde E. Martin, published by W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, (1948). Kinsey was an American sex "expert" whose "findings" laid the foundations for all future researches in this field. However, they are so obviously bogus that it is a wonder that anyone ever took them seriously. They have now been totally exposed and refuted. See in particular the 1990 publication KINSEY, SEX AND FRAUD: The Indoctrination of a People, by Judith A. Reisman and Edward W. Eichel, published by Lochinvar-Huntington House, Lafayette, Louisiana.
- (5) Willings Press Guide 1993, page 652; the monthly circulation of Gay Times (incorporating Gay News) is given as 38,000 according to the "Publishers Statement". The bi-monthly Gay Scotland Magazine is given as 20,000, again, this is attributed to the "Publishers Statement". If the first figure is correct, the second is almost certainly grossly exaggerated.
- (6) An excellent article confirming this was published in the Daily Mail, January 21, 1994, page 8. In The making of a very vocal minority, Mary Kenny revealed that a government survey showed that 1.1% of British males and .4% of females had had a "partner" of the same sex in the previous year. It is difficult to know how to interpret such figures, but the author made the points that homosexuals are concentrated in London, "the cultural epicentre of our society", that they have spread their influence throughout the state-funded professions, that they have been boosted by the media, and that they make a lot of noise.
- (7) Re London see previous footnote; Manchester and Brighton are also heavily infested with homosexuals.
- (8) The full story of this farce is related in THE BATTLE FOR BERMONDSEY, by Peter Tatchell, preface by Tony Benn, published by Heretic Books, London, (October 1983).
- (9) Tatchell, THE BATTLE FOR BERMONDSEY, page 72, (ibid).
- (10) Tatchell, THE BATTLE FOR BERMONDSEY, page 73-4, (ibid).
- (11) Page 34, THE AIDS COVER-UP? The Real and Alarming Facts about AIDS, by Gene Antonio, published by Ignatius Press, San Francisco, Second edition, (1987).
- (12) Antonio, The AIDS Cover-Up?, pages 41-2, (ibid).
- (13) Fisting is also known as hand-balling.

- (14) Reporting on objects removed from the anuses of homosexual degenerates, The Gay Health Guide offers this: "Most are small, but physicians have removed such large items as a six-ounce Coca-Cola bottle, an entire pencil, and a vibrator head." [Page 114, The Gay Health Guide: A COMPLETE MEDICAL REFERENCE FOR HOMOSEXUALLY ACTIVE MEN AND WOMEN, by Robert L. Rowan, M.D. & Paul J. Gillette, PhD., published by Little Brown, Boston, (1978).]
- (15) Antonio, The AIDS Cover-Up?, page 41, (op cit).
- (16) Antonio, The AIDS Cover-Up?, page 41, (ibid).
- (17) We have not covered every aspect of homosexual perversion here, but the purpose of this pamphlet is to educate rather than revolt the reader.
- (18) The Orton Diaries including the correspondence of Edna Welthorpe and others, Edited by John Lahr, published by Methuen, London, (1986).
- (19) Lahr, Orton Diaries, page 38, (ibid).
- (20) Lahr, Orton Diaries, page 42, (ibid).
- (21) Lahr, Orton Diaries, pages 44-5, (ibid).
- (22) Lahr, Orton Diaries, (ibid). On page 106, Orton recounts: "He pushed the sixth man roughly away from the fair-haired man and quickly sucked the fair-haired man off. The man beside me had pulled my jeans down over my buttocks and was trying to push his prick between my legs...The labourer, getting very excited by my feeling his cock with both hands, suddenly glued his mouth to mine. The little pissoir under the bridge had become the scene of a frenzied homosexual saturnalia...I came, squirting come into the bearded man's mouth...As I was about to leave, I heard the bearded man, hissing quietly, 'I suck people off! Who wants his cock sucked?' When I left, the labourer was just shoving his cock into the bearded man's mouth to keep him quiet."
- (23) Because We're Queers: The Life and Crimes of Kenneth Halliwell and Joe Orton, by Simon Shepherd, published by Gay Men's Press, London, (1989). Kenneth Halliwell was Orton's lover.
- (24) Author Shepherd makes no secret of his admiration for this piece of human filth that was Joe Orton. In 1962, before Orton established himself as a playwright, he and Halliwell were both jailed for a bizarre series of senseless crimes. They received six months a piece for stealing and causing wilful damage to 72 library books, the sort of thing a delinquent child would do. Shepherd's equally bizarre comment on this is that: "Neither the reporters nor the court were interested in the tasteful alterations to the dust-jackets of the Arden Shakespeare texts."
- (25) Homosexuality (buggery) between consenting adults was illegal until the passage of the Sexual Offences 1967, which became law in 1968. The age of consent was then 21. In practice, the law against homosexuality was not rigidly enforced. Orton lived openly with his male lover, as did many other homosexuals.
- (26) The Silent Community: Public Homosexual Encounters, by Edward William Delph, published by Sage Publications, London, (1978).
- (27) Delph, Silent Community, page 142, (ibid).
- (28) Delph, Silent Community, page 142, (ibid).
- (29) The Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, by Michael Fumento, published

by Basic Books, New York, (1990). We will have much more to say about AIDS shortly, but here we will concentrate on the nature of homosexual practices, in particular, anonymous sex.

(30) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 196, (ibid). Fumento is quoting from the book AIDS in the Mind of America, by the homosexual activist Dennis Altman.

(31) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 233, (ibid); citing Altman again.

(32) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 232, (ibid).

(33) Page 19, The Band Played On: Politics, People and the AIDS Epidemic, by Randy Shilts, published by Viking, London, (1987). It goes without saying that with a 33% chance of infection on each visit, all regular patrons are almost certainly infested with both syphilis and gonorrhoea, and God only knows what else.

(34) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 232, (op cit).

(35) Jenny lives with Eric and Martin, by Susanne Bösche, photographs by Andreas Hansen, translated from the Danish by Louis Mackay, English edition published by Gay Men's Press, London, (December 1983).

(36) In 1978, PIE issued a pamphlet called Paedophilia. * These 16 pages of poison included the following:

Page 3: "It is not desirable to destroy a paedophile's love for children. What is desirable is to change paedophiles from outcasts into useful members of a society which will accept non-parental love for children."

Page 4: "indecent assault" said to be a misnomer, ie if a child consents, it's okay.

Page 5: "Mothers can be extremely sensual with their children...without attracting untoward attention..."

Page 7: "Truly innocent children simply enjoy sex and are interested in it."

Page 9: complains that current law leaves paedophiles "humiliated and ostracised...harassed, imprisoned and put in solitary confinement."

Page 10: "There is nothing wrong with child erotica as such, providing the child is happy to take part."

Page 11: "...paedophiles are ordinary, decent, sensible human beings, no more sexually depraved than yourself..."

* PAEDOPHILIA: Some questions and answers, published by PIE, London, (1978).

(37) This admission was made in the Paedophile Information Exchange Survey of Members, published August 1976 c/o Release, London.

On page 6 it was stated that "...the organisation was started by male homosexual paedophiles from within the gay movement." It was said to have advertised initially in predominantly male homosexual publications:

"our only regular form of advertising is still a mention every two weeks in the guide section of Gay News."

(38) In issue 2 of Gay Times, published June 22nd, 1978, the paper referred to the News of the World as "The Vilest Paper in Britain" for attempting to link PIE with Gay Times.

An unsigned article on page 3 stated that "Gay Times has grave misgivings about the aims of the Paedophile Information Exchange, and therefore does not support them. But the exchange of information between like minded people, especially when they are otherwise totally rejected by all sections of society, seems to us to be likely to be more beneficial than leaving isolated and necessarily frustrated people to cope on their own."

(39) Magpie, March 1977, page 3.

(40) The Times, April 16, 1984, page 1.

(41) Not that any healthy, race-conscious black man would want to.

(42) Jamaican-born Marcus Mosiah Garvey (1887-1940), was perhaps the greatest black political thinker of all time, and certainly of his era. He was a far cry from latter day whining black egalitarians and communist dupes like the much over-hyped Martin Luther King. Garvey believed that, rather than taking hand-outs from white "liberals" and race-mixers, the black man should build his own businesses, seek financial independence and take pride in his own race. Which of course entails a total rejection of miscegenation.

To this end he founded the Universal Negro Improvement Association and was an advocate of the Negro back to Africa movement. Unfortunately, as was to be expected, his ideas didn't go down at all well with the liberal-white establishment. In 1925 he was jailed for five years for mail fraud, (he was almost certainly fitted up). He was subsequently expelled from the United States and died in London in June 1940.

(43) King was actually more red than black; he was affiliated with no less than sixty communist fronts! On January 31, 1977, his widow obtained a Federal court order sealing 845 pages of FBI records about her husband "because its release would destroy his reputation!" As well as being a communist, King also engaged in numerous illicit sexual liaisons with white women. A fine role model for any black kid, we don't think.

(44) Fictional for a very good reason; there are no homosexual role models suitable for black people, and unless we lose our senses there never will be.

(45) Daddy's Roommate, written and illustrated by Michael Willhoite, published by Alyson Publications. Boston, Mass, (1990).

(46) HOW WOULD YOU FEEL IF YOUR DAD WAS GAY?, by Ann Heron & Meredith Maran, Illustrated by Kris Kovick, published by Alyson Publications, Boston, Mass, (1991).

(47) It is true that we are all at risk to some extent, but this is true of crime. We are all at risk from sexual assault, each and every one of us could be indecently assaulted, but a sixteen stone man is far less at risk than a young girl. AIDS is primarily a disease of filth, homosexual-related filth.

(48) This does not mean that men are never left to bring up children on their own; the current writer knows, or knew, of a man who was given custody of his young son even though his ex-

wife had taken up with another man. But this is a rare exception to a wise rule, the courts rightly considered him to be a more suitable guardian than his (inadequate) ex-wife; this person incidentally was devoutly religious and believed that homosexuals should be put to death.

(49) Quoted in the National Front magazine England, My Country, Feb/94, issue 38, page 4.

(50) In his book CIVIL RIGHTS: Rhetoric or Reality?, published by William Morrow, New York, (1984), black economist Thomas Sowell makes the telling point that black "ghettos" in the 1930s were far safer places than they are today. (See in particular pages 84-5). White people would frequent Harlem after dark and in the summer, people would sleep out in the street.

(51) The Times, June 18, 1992, page 7.

(52) An organisation called Searchlight is one of the prime anti-white race-hate machines in this country. It publishes a lie-ridden monthly magazine of the same name.

(53) To them the white male is the ultimate symbol of oppression and must therefore be destroyed. Pushing miscegenation has become an obsession with these people, as with the Zionist hatemongers. A rare, dissenting article appeared in the Sunday Times for March 6, 1994, echoing rising concerns in the American black media. Black people should wake up and realise that miscegenation is the main, if not the only, cause of friction between them and their white neighbours. If they were to take a stand against it and call for it to be outlawed, or at the very least ostracised, the British National Party and their fellow travellers would quickly disappear up their own orifices. The same Sunday Times article also pictures two of our greatest race traitors, boxer and media moron Frank Bruno and "comedian" Lenny Henry with their white "partners".

It is a crowning insult to our people, and to white people, and indicative of what a sick society we live in, that it has become virtually impossible to take pride in one's own racial integrity while at the same time sodomy has been elevated to the status of gay.

(54) gay liberation front manifesto, published by Gay Liberation Information Service, London, (1979). The first edition was published in 1971.

(55) The slogan "communism is Jewish" is a staple of anti-Semitic propaganda. The fact that many, many Jews took an active part in the communist movement for decades has been used to tar the entire Jewish people with the same brush. An examination of the true facts however reveals not only that some outstanding anti-communists have been Jewish, but that tribal loyalties have counted for little or nothing in the communist war against civilisation. On his death, Leon Trotsky was denounced by his own father as an enemy of Judaism and the curse of humanity.

(56) The Times of March 12, 1994, (page 14), reported that the annual St. Patrick's Day parade in Boston, ("one of America's most Irish cities") had been cancelled after a court upheld the "right" of gay activists [sic] to take part. A group of homosexual trouble-makers using the appropriate acronym GLIB had pre-

viously marched with the parade "under heavy police protection". In spite of the IRA and other terrorist organisations, the Irish are basically very decent, upstanding and moral people.

(57) See for example page 7 of FREEDOM UNDER THE LAW, by the Right Honourable Sir Alfred (later Lord) Denning, published by Stevens & Sons, London, (1949).

(58) This is old hat now. In 1986, Rachel Tingle published GAY LESSONS: How Public Funds are used to promote Homosexuality among Children and Young People, (Pickwick Books, London), which documents this sort of poison. The very fact that books such as Daddy's Roommate and How Would You Feel If Your Dad Was Gay? are being stocked by children's libraries should give every parent cause for alarm.

(59) Michael X for example. Also known as Michael Abdul Malik and Michael de Freitas, he was a crook, hustler, pimp and thug, who preached anti-white hatred, even though he lived off white whores and white liberals. He was hanged for murder in Trinidad in 1975, but in November 1967 he was jailed at Reading for twelve months under the notorious Race Relations Act. This act has been strengthened twice since then. The fact that Michael X was a scumbag and no friend of black people does not detract from the fact that he was jailed unjustly. Black people have no more time for bigots and haters than white people, which is why people such as him have small, cult followings. Ironically, most of his more prominent supporters were white "liberals" who'd had a pathological hatred of their own white skins instilled in them.

(60) They've already got this to some extent, in Ireland of all places. In 1989 the Irish Parliament (the Dail), amended its Incitement to Hatred Act to include sexual orientation as well as race, religion and nationality.

(61) The Anti-Nazi League will be referred to henceforth as ANAL.

(62) Antonio, The AIDS Cover-Up?, page 41, (op cit).

(63) See for example Encyclopedia of Homosexuality, Volume II, page 882, (op cit).

(64) SANHEDRIN 54

MISHNAH. HE WHO COMMITS SODOMY WITH A MALE OR A BEAST, AND A WOMAN THAT COMMITS BESTIALITY ARE STONED.

GEMARA: "...There shall be no sodomite of the sons of Israel..."

(65) Webster was expelled from the National Front on January 13, 1985, (Lobster, December 1993, issue 26, page 17).

(66) In the July 1983 issue of Spearhead, Tyndall's long-running anti-black hate sheet, he published a letter sent by Webster to a young "nationalist". The innuendo in this missive is sickening. As Tyndall rightly points out, this was hardly the sort of letter one man writes to another.

(67) The Times February 22, page 1. Among other things they shouted "Scum" and "Shame on you" at MPs. Look who's talking.

(68) Daily Telegraph 22 February 1994, page 1 reported literally about ten arrests. The current writer heard a report that a police officer had been beaten unconscious, but that later turned out to be false.

(69) Also spelt Jakobovits.

(70) Ex-Chief Rabbi endorses genetic engineering to stop homosexuality: Progressives join gays in attack on Lord Jakobovits, by Valerie Monchi, Jewish Chronicle, July 23, 1993, page 1. It is standard practice for Jewish holy men to give not only spiritual guidance to their flocks but advice on major technological developments and innovations, especially for so senior a rabbi as Lord Jakobovits.

(71) On November 12, 1993, The Pink Paper, the national newspaper of sodomites and dykes, quoted from the letter OutRage had written to the Prince: "It is an appalling insult to the memory of all those who suffered in the Holocaust for the University of Wales to honour a man who endorses theories of genetic purification."

(72) In any case, this belief is absolute poppycock, as anyone who has taken the trouble to read what Hitler really said about race will realise. For example, the Führer was a great admirer of both the Chinese and the Japanese, the latter it will be remembered actually fought with the Nazis against the Aryan British.

(73) Capital Gay, the London-based fag paper gave the story of the OutRage picket of London's Marble Arch synagogue front page coverage in its September 24, 1993 issue. OutRage accused of anti-Semitism the paper screamed. The paper took a hostile line to the militant homos, probably deciding, wisely, that it doesn't pay to be attacked on two fronts; it would have been amusing to say the least if the notoriously anti-Semitic British far right had joined forces with Orthodox Jewry to put the queers back in the closet.

(74) In the same article an OutRage spokesman said "It is extremely upsetting and annoying to be accused of anti-Semitism." We can only hope that Orthodox Jews do not take the same attitude, and continue to speak out against this poison.

(75) Because of the nature of lesbian practices lesbians are not vectors of filth, at least not to the same extent as their ugly cousins. The current writer is not at all familiar with what these pathetic women do to each other, but presumably vibrators and woman to woman massage are the limits of their depravity. Certainly they don't appear to frequent public toilets and bath-houses, and they don't of course engage in fellatio.

(76) The first AIDS cases appeared among American homosexuals and the terms gay cancer, gay pneumonia and gay plague were all used, soon to be replaced by the acronym GRID. See for example HISTORY OF AIDS: EMERGENCE AND ORIGIN OF A MODERN PANDEMIC, by Mirko D. Grmek, Translated by Russell C. Maulitz and Jacalyn Duffin, published by Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, (1990). Chapter 1 of this book clearly documents the origins of the disease among American homosexuals.

(77) The truth about the Haitians was not long in coming out. In May 1983 the Haitian Physicians' Association revealed that 30% of Haitian AIDS victims were mainly male prostitutes who admitted to homosexual contacts, notably with tourists - most likely American homosexuals. Homosexuality and bisexuality are strongly taboo in (supposedly primitive) Haiti. [Grmek, HISTORY OF AIDS, page 35,

(ibid)].

(78) There was never any mystery about the haemophiliacs, it was always clear that they had been infected through contaminated blood supplies.

(79) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 79, (op cit).

(80) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 89, (ibid).

(81) Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 90, (ibid).

(82) Citing Atlantic magazine, February 2, 1987, [Fumento, Myth of Heterosexual AIDS, page 90, (ibid)].

(83) Cited here from page 6 of AIDS, AFRICA AND RACISM, by Richard and Rosalind Chirimuuta, New and revised edition, published by Free Association Books, London, (1989).

(84) Chirimuuta, AIDS, Africa And Racism, page 6, (ibid).

(85) See in particular Chapter Fourteen, The Homosexual Lobby.

(86) It is one of the great fallacies of our age that medicine has been responsible for the abolition of such diseases as tuberculosis in Western societies. Public hygiene: clean water, drainage, sanitation and decent housing more than anything else have eradicated such pestilences.

(87) One person who visited this dictatorship told the current writer that many black former Rhodesians refer to the era of UDI as the good old days. The wife of former Prime Minister Ian Smith is referred to affectionately as Granny Smith, after the apple.

(88) Capital Gay, February 4, 1994, page 9.

(89) Ibid.

(90) Evening Standard, (City Prices), March 17, 1993, page 33.

(91) Gay Times, December 1992, page 9. The white rock band Guns N' Roses also landed in hot water with the homo lobby. A track off their album Lies refers to "faggots" who "spread some fucking disease". Regrettably they later issued an apology.

(92) GAY STUDIES: What are we doing?, by Maggie Allen, published in the June 16, 1987 issue, (page 45); and a two page spread by Mike Burgess, published in the January 19, 1988 edition (pages 18-9), called WHY WE'RE PROUD TO BE GAY. Being proud of a black face is one thing, being proud of a brown nose is quite another.

(93) Reisman and Eichel, Kinsey, Sex and Fraud, page 110, (op cit).

(94) From page 222 of And The Band Played On: Politics, People and the AIDS Epidemic, by Randy Shilts, published by Viking, London, (1987).

(95) Shilts, And The Band Played On, page 238, (ibid).

(96) "Don't call us AID victims. AIDS is not my weakness. AIDS is my strength." This was the actual quote, [Antonio, The AIDS Cover-Up?, page 33, (op cit)].

(97) Antonio, The AIDS-Cover Up?, pages 36-7, (ibid). The obvious trauma and constant exposure to filth can hardly be anything but debilitating. The \$64 million dollar question is not why did AIDS appear in the 1980s but why didn't it appear sooner?

(98) We have already covered this same ground to some extent, but this is such an important point that I ask the reader to forgive me if I stress it again. The message must be rammed home to black people again and again and again, because the organised homosexual movement is made up of fanatics who will stop at nothing to

get their own way.

(99) In January 1983, Livingstone published a cartoon of Israeli Prime Minister, mass murderer Menachem Begin, in the now long defunct Labour Herald newspaper. The cartoon, which depicted Begin as Hitler, was a protest at the Sabra and Shatilla massacre the previous year. Jewish leaders are not only the world's master liars but the world's greatest hypocrites.

(100) "Anti-racists, "anti-fascists", socialists, ad nauseum.

(101) It is ironic that just as certain blacks have taken to calling themselves niggers in public in front of white people, so too has the organised homosexual movement started to use terms which, when used by "straights", provoke anger, even frenzy. Tatchell's Briefing article refers to "queers". In the United States there is even a group of militant homosexual extremists called Queer Nation.

(102) It is important for black people to realise that in the final analysis, leftists don't give a stuff about black people any more that they genuinely care about the workers. What they want is to take the control of the nation's, and the world's, wealth, out of private hands, and place it in their own.

(103) Delph, Silent Community, page 109, (op cit).

(104) Delph, Silent Community, page 110, (ibid).

(105) This does not apply simply to race, of course. Most people associate mostly with people of their own age group, their own interests, and, of course, most of us spend a lot of time with our own families and have some common interests with our work-mates and colleagues.

(106) Daily Mail, January 27, 1994, pages 24-5.

(107) Ibid.

(108) Ibid.

(109) Ibid.

(110) Reform Judaism, that is; the Orthodox wouldn't touch this poison with a barge pole.

(111) As reported in Homosexuality and Education, by J. Martin Stafford, self-published, (1988), page 27.

The publisher would like to make it clear that he does not approve of any prosecution for blasphemous libel nor any curtailment of free speech on any religious, racial or political matter on any pretext whatsoever. Even for the sick, degenerate scum and slime of the organised homosexual movement.

(112) Gay Christian, May 1977, issue 5, page 2.

(113) Pages 2-3, (ibid).

(114) Gay Christian Movement Information Leaflet apparently inserted in issue 5.

(115) Gay Christian, February 1984, No 31, page 22. Presumably this latter is intended to give homosexuality a spurious stamp of approval.

(116) Gay Christian, February 1984, No 31, page 23.

(117) Ibid.

(118) From the essay Misguided, Dangerous and Wrong: an analysis of Anti-pornography Politics, by Gayle Rubin, page 20 of Bad Girls and Dirty Pictures: The Challenge to Reclaim Feminism, Edited by Alison Assiter and Avedon Carol, published by Pluto

Press, London, (1993).

(119) Tingle, Gay Lessons, page 45, (op cit).

(120) This is an area in which the sophists of perversion are inclined to obfuscate the real issue. Technically, anything which is neither man-made nor miraculous is natural. This includes cancer, tsetse-fly, typhoid and all manner of epidemics. So in that sense, homosexuality is natural, but this is not what is commonly understood by the word. This is what is known as the fallacy of equivocation, using a word to mean two different things.

(121) Gay and Lesbian Youth, Edited by Gilbert Herdt, PhD, published by Haworth Press, New York, (1989). This quote is taken from page xiv of the Preface by Robert W. Dresster, MD of University of Washington School of Medicine. Only a university graduate could write such drivel.

(122) Page 117 in the essay by Margaret Scheider PhD.

(123) GAY YOUTH GROUPS, published by the Joint Council For Gay Teenagers, (December 1980). See in particular page 5.

(124) Suppression of free enquiry always leads to the suppression of truth. The suppression of subversive literature is a slippery slope which inevitably leads to tyranny. Lady Chatterley's Lover, now regarded as a modern classic, was once banned.

(125) Lahr, Orton Diaries..., page 185, (op cit).

(126) Shepherd, Because We're Queers, page 29, (op cit).

(127) Shepherd, Because We're Queers, page 29, (ibid); the same quote can be found in Lahr, (page 148).

(128) The Truth At Last, issue 364, page 4. These may not be Robicheau's actual words but the quote is true in spirit. Other reformed homosexuals are also quoted in this article.

(129) Page 5.

(130) Gay Times, December 1992, page 34. John actually "came out" in an interview with the American music magazine Rolling Stone published October, 1976. John "admitted" that he was bisexual. Actually, he said "I think everybody's bisexual to a certain degree. I don't think it's just me." Quoted here from page 161 of The Many Lives of Elton John, by Susan Crimp and Patricia Burstein, published by Robert Hale, London, (1993).

(131) The December 1992 issue of Gay Times also revealed that Elton was launching a new AIDS charity.

(132) The one redeeming feature of this newspaper is its homophobia; columnist Garry Bushell is renowned for his attacks on gays.

(133) This resulted from allegations made to the Sun by a self-professed "rent boy", ie a male whore. The paper went to considerable lengths to fit up the superstar but in the end the story was so obviously bogus that it had to throw in the towel. This is recounted in The Many Lives of Elton John, (op cit). This book is certainly worth reading, and not just by Elton John fans. Although it endorses the gay Elton thesis, the only "evidence" it offers for his supposed homosexuality is the fact that he had what amounted to a crush on Long John Baldry when he was working as his keyboard player; this was before he changed his name from Reg Dwight. See in particular pages 22-5. Apart from that he seems to have spent some time in the company of homosexuals or

- persons of doubtful sexuality, but that hardly makes him one himself. Rock stars are well-known for their eccentricities.
- (134) Freddie Mercury obituary, Gay Times, January 1992.
 - (135) Rock star Mercury dies of Aids at 45, published in the Times, November 25, 1991, page 3.
 - (136) Gay Times, January 1992, page 9.
 - (137) Quoted in Gay Times, January 1992, page 10.
 - (138) Gay Times, January 1992, page 11.
 - (139) Sunday Times, April 19, 1992, page 20.
 - (140) The Times, November 26, 1991, page 3.
 - (141) The Sunday Times May 24, 1992, page 8. The concert raised £3.8 million, which was put in trust.
 - (142) Masters, cited by Reisman and Eichel, page 103, (op cit).

**Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing
in collaboration with
Brixton Black People's Truth In Media Campaign,
Box 2293,
BM Mono,
London WC1N 3XX.**

ISBN 1 898318 66 2